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Abstract

The drop in temperature following large volcanic eruptions has been identified as an important component of natural climate variability. However, due to the limited number of large eruptions that occurred during the period of instrumental observations, the precise amplitude of post-volcanic cooling is not well constrained. Here we present new evidence on summer temperature cooling over Europe in years following volcanic eruptions. We compile and analyze an updated network of tree-ring maximum latewood density chronologies, spanning the past nine centuries, and compare cooling signatures in this network with exceptionally long instrumental station records and state-of-the-art General Circulation Models. Results indicate post-volcanic June-August cooling is strongest in Northern Europe two years after an eruption (-0.52 ±0.05 °C), whereas in Central Europe the temperature response is smaller and occurs one year after an eruption (-0.18 ±0.07°C). We validate these estimates by comparison with the shorter instrumental network, and evaluate the statistical significance of post-volcanic summer temperature cooling in the context of natural climate variability over the past nine centuries. Finding no significant post-volcanic temperature cooling lasting longer than two years, our results question the ability of large eruptions to initiate long-term temperature changes through feedback mechanisms in the climate system. We discuss the implications of these findings with respect to the response seen in General Circulation Models and emphasize the importance of considering well-documented, annually dated, eruptions when assessing the significance of volcanic forcing on continental scale temperature variations.

Keywords Volcanic forcing, Tree-rings, Climate, Instrumental stations, Maximum latewood density, Europe
Introduction

Sulfate aerosols, from volcanic sulfur injected into the stratosphere by explosive eruptions, tend to cool global surface temperatures (Cole-Dai 2010). The aerosols scatter incoming solar radiation and absorb outgoing infrared radiation, thereby warming the lower stratosphere and cooling the earth's surface (Robock 2000). Explosive eruption plumes that pass the tropopause, where the temperature lapse rate reaches an abrupt minimum (~9-17 km asl.), cause large-scale changes in atmospheric optical depth and negative radiative forcing (McCormick et al. 1993). Eruptions of this size are typically classified as having a volcanic explosivity index (VEI) ≥ 5 (Newhall and Self 1982). The tephra volume of such eruptions is estimated to exceed one billion cubic meters.

Estimates of post-volcanic cooling are based on the analysis of surface temperatures following large eruptions (Self et al. 1981; Kelly and Sear 1984; Angell and Korshover 1985; Sear et al. 1987; Robock and Mao 1995). The number of VEI ≥ 5 eruptions captured within the modern instrumental period is small (n = 10, 1901-2012), thus limiting the confidence of estimates based solely on observational data. Estimating the degree of cooling by eruptions prior to the era of instrumental observation necessitates the use of annually resolved temperature proxies that explain a fraction of temperature variance of which only tree-ring, and a few documentary records, have the temporal precision and accuracy to provide adequate information over the past millennium (Frank et al. 2010). The suitability of tree-ring proxy data to detect the thermal signature of explosive eruptions, in space and time, has been successfully demonstrated (Briffa et al. 1998; Hegerl et al. 2003; Anchukaitis et al. 2012; Esper et al. 2013).

The Global Volcanism Program (GVP) has identified 37, annually dated, explosive eruptions in the Northern Hemisphere (NH) and tropics over the past 1000 years that likely injected...
sulfate aerosols into the stratosphere (Siebert et al. 2010). Though caution is required when
working with these data as some of the eruptions have been dated using tree-ring records,
which can lead to a circular reasoning when combining tree-ring reconstructed cooling
estimates with eruption histories derived from the same proxy data. In addition, the sulfur
emission magnitude, as well as the plume altitude, vary among VEI classified eruptions.
Alternatively, histories of explosive eruptions derived from sulfate deposition in Greenland
and Antarctic ice cores (Crowley 2000; Gao et al. 2008; Crowley and Unterman 2012) can be
used to assess post-volcanic cooling (Ammann et al. 2007). However, this approach is
constrained by dating uncertainties of the ice core acid layers that increases back in time and
limits the temporal precision of inferred post-volcanic cooling estimates (Hammer et al. 1986;
Traufetter et al. 2004; Baillie 2010).

The amplitude and duration of post-volcanic surface cooling is not well constrained and
recently received critical examination (Anchukaitis et al. 2012; Mann et al. 2012; Esper et al.
2013). Hemispheric scale estimates, derived from observational and annually resolved proxy
data (mainly tree-rings), range from ~ 0.0 to -0.4°C (Mass and Portman 1989; Briffa et al.
1998; Jones et al. 2003; D'Arrigo et al. 2009). It has been shown that the cooling signal is
stronger during the summer season and in high European latitudes compared to lower
latitudes (Fischer et al. 2007; Hegerl et al. 2011). Previous work, utilizing temperature
simulations from Energy Balance and Coupled General Circulation Models (CGCMs)
indicate the frequency of stratospheric volcanic clouds may have triggered long-term
temperature variations responsible for cold conditions during the Little Ice Age (LIA) in the
seventeenth and early nineteenth centuries (Crowley 2000; Wagner and Zorita 2005; Hegerl et
al. 2011). Other studies (Robock 2000; Grove 2001; Schneider et al. 2009; Miller et al. 2012)
suggest the clustered volcanic eruptions in the thirteenth century, including the 1258/59
unknown event identified in ice core sulfuric acid depositions (Langway et al. 1988),
contributed to the transition from the Medieval Warm Period (MWP) to the LIA about 700 years ago (see also Timmreck et al. 2009), a period during which a global reorganization of climate has been suggested (Graham et al. 2007, 2011; Trouet et al. 2009). However, the ability of CGCM’s to accurately capture the dynamical response to stratospheric volcanic clouds is not without its own controversy (Stenchikov et al. 2006; Anchukaitis et al. 2010, Zanchettin et al. 2013a,b). An analysis of the dynamic response by twelve Coupled Model Intercomparison Project 5 (CMIP5) simulations to a suite of eruptions from the instrumental period indicated the models consistently overestimate tropical troposphere cooling leading to unstable pressure fields over high latitudes in the NH (Driscoll et al. 2012).

Here we present estimates of post-volcanic cooling over Northern and Central Europe derived from an updated network of tree-ring maximum latewood density (MXD) records covering the past 900 years (Büntgen et al. 2010; Esper et al. 2012a). Tree-ring MXD is a superior parameter for studying the effects of volcanic eruptions – compared to the more commonly used tree-ring width (TRW) measurements – as it is not biased by biological memory effects that tend to smear and lengthen the inferred TRW response to distinct climatic disturbances (Frank et al. 2007). We compare the temperature response to 34 of 37, annually dated and documented, VEI ≥ 5 eruptions, found in the summer temperature sensitive MXD network to the response found in a network of shorter instrumental records back to 1722 C.E. We also perform two sensitivity tests with subsets of volcanic eruptions representing (i) different VEI intensities, and (ii) the latitude of eruptions. We relate our cooling estimates from annually dated eruptions documented by the GVP with estimates derived from volcanic sulfate peaks identified in ice core records. Finally, our best estimates of post-eruption cooling are related to the annual summer temperature variance from 1111-1976 C.E. to evaluate the statistical significance of volcanic forcing in the context of natural climate variability over the past nine centuries.
Material and Methods

GVP and ice core data

Thirty-four annually dated large eruptions (VEI ≥ 5) from the NH and (NH and SH) tropics that occurred between 1111-1976 C.E. were used for assessing post-volcanic cooling effects (Table 1). Three eruptions (1480, 1482, 1800) that met these criteria were not considered, as these events were dated using dendrochronological methods (Siebert et al. 2010). The 34 eruptions have been precisely dated through documentary evidence and exceed VEI 4 above which stratospheric production of sulfate aerosols is expected based on descriptions of eruption type, duration, and column height (Newhall and Self 1982). The estimated tephra ejecta of these eruptions range from 1 to 160 $10^9$ m$^3$ (Table 1).

In addition to the 34 VEI ≥ 5 events (SEA 1 in Table 1) subsets of eruptions were tested to evaluate the significance of an eruption’s size and the volcano’s location on observed cooling patterns. These subsets include (i) 15 eruptions within the shorter 1722-1976 period, covered by long instrumental temperature data (SEA 2), (ii) 22 eruptions ≥ $1.5 \times 10^9$ m$^3$ tephra volume, and 12 eruptions < $1.5 \times 10^9$ m$^3$ tephra volume (SEAs 3 and 4), and (iii) volcanoes located in the NH extratropics and tropics (SEAs 5 and 6). Note that the average tephra volume of the tropical volcanoes is much larger ($18.8 \times 10^9$ m$^3$) than the extratropical volcanoes ($3.7 \times 10^9$ m$^3$).

Finally, we considered a timeseries of sulfate aerosol layers derived from multiple Greenland and Antarctic ice cores (Gao et al. 2008) identifying 40 NH stratospheric events between 1111-1976 C.E. (SEA 7). This record contains a number of major eruptions that are not documented by the GVP, including the 1452/53 Kuwae and 1258/59 unknown events, but are
identified in sulfate depositions and tree-ring chronologies (LaMarche and Hirschboeck 1984; Gao et al. 2006; Salzer and Hughes 2007). Though these ice-core derived data are typically used to force CGCMs, the dating and location of a number of eruptions, particularly during the earlier part of the past 900 years, is not certain (Hammer et al. 1986; Baillie 2008, 2010; Plummer et al. 2012; Sigl et al. 2013). This condition might compromise the temporal precision of any post-volcanic, climate assessment using such data. Only nine of the 40 ice core derived eruptions identified in Gao et al. (2008) coincide with a documented VEI ≥ 5 event during the 1111-1976 C.E. period (Table 1).

Tree-ring maximum latewood density chronologies

Documentary and ice core derived volcanic events were used to assess pre- and post-eruption June-August (JJA) temperature deviations reconstructed from European MXD chronologies spanning the past 900 years. An MXD chronology is the mean of a collection of MXD measurement series belonging to individual trees growing in an ecologically homogeneous site (Cook and Kairiukstis 1990). Typically, two such measurement series, representing two radii of a stem, are procured from each tree. The raw MXD series (in g/cm$^3$) need to be detrended/standardized to remove level differences between biologically younger and older tree-rings, which possess slightly denser and lighter latewood, respectively (Schweingruber et al. 1978). This is done by fitting negative exponential curves (NegExp) to the individual measurement series (radii) and calculating ratios between the raw density measurements and the curve values (Cook and Kairiukstis 1990). The procedure removes non-climatic, tree age-related trends and emphasizes common variations.
To produce a millennium-length chronology, MXD radial patterns from living trees, which typically represent the most recent 200-400 years, are crossdated (Douglass 1920) with patterns from relict trees (Büntgen et al. 2011). In the case of the MXD dataset from Northern Scandinavia (Fig. 1, NSC), relict material was obtained from trees that fell some hundred years ago into shallow lakes in Finnish Lapland and were preserved (Esper et al. 2012b). In other chronologies used in this study (see below), living trees were combined with historical timbers from old buildings (e.g. the Lötschental, Switzerland; Büntgen et al. 2006) or dry-dead wood in talus (e.g. the Pyrenees, Spain; Büntgen et al. 2008). Latewood cell-wall growth in these cold environments is controlled by summer temperature (Moser et al. 2010), imprinting a common variance among all single MXD measurement series at a given site (Figs. 1b and c). The coherence among individual measurement series is typically higher in MXD compared to TRW data (Esper et al. 2010). The common signal strength of tree-ring chronologies is also controlled by the number of integrated measurement series, which varies among sites and typically decreases back in time (in Figs. 1 b and c: 114 series over the recent 1947-1976 C.E. and 34 series over the early 1111-1140 C.E. periods).

Seven NegExp detrended MXD site chronologies, from latitudinal and elevational treeline environments in Northern and Central Europe, were used to assess the spatial and temporal temperature patterns associated with large volcanic eruptions (Table 2). The site chronologies are composed of *Pinus sylvestris* from Central (JAE) and Northern Scandinavia (TOR, NSC), *Pinus uncinata* from the Pyrenees (PYR), and *Larix decidua* and *Picea abies* from the Alps (LAU, LOE, TIR). The average number of MXD measurement series, over the common period 1111-1976 C.E., varies considerably among these datasets ranging from 18 in TOR to 49 in NSC. The lag 1 autocorrelation, a measure of the temporal persistence in a timeseries, is < 0.38 in all MXD site chronologies matching the memory inherent to instrumental JJA temperature data from the European stations used in this study (see below).
The regional mean timeseries, MXD-north and MXD-central, were calculated by averaging all the northern and central site chronologies (Fig. 2). This consolidation is justified by the significantly high inter-site correlations among the three northern ($r_{\text{north}} = 0.57$) and the four central sites ($r_{\text{central}} = 0.46$) over the common period 1111-1976 C.E. It is important to note that the northern versus central site chronologies share no common variance (see the grey curve in Fig. 2d centered at $r = 0.03$) reflecting the distinct climatic dipole structure that exists over Europe as a consequence of internal climate forcings (Barnston and Livezey 1987).

Inter-site correlations also decrease back in time – particularly among the northern sites (see the blue curve in Fig. 2d) – likely due to declining sample sizes in the site chronologies. This latter feature points to a weaker climatic signal in the site chronologies and subsequent regional composites during the earliest centuries of the past millennium. Replication of the entire European MXD network declines from 426 measurement series in 1973 to 87 series in 1111 C.E. (Fig. 2c).

Instrumental temperature data and calibration of MXD records

The MXD site and regional chronologies were transformed into estimates of average JJA temperature variability by scaling (adjusting the mean and variance; Esper et al. 2005) each chronology against the average JJA temperature of the nearest grid point in the Crutem4 temperature dataset (Jones et al. 2012) over the common period 1901-1976 (Table 3). The correlations between MXD chronology and JJA temperatures, at their respective grid points, are lower in Central Europe (ranging from 0.31 to 0.61) than in Northern Europe (0.71 to 0.82), indicating an overall weaker inherent climate signal in the central portion of the network. This tendency is confirmed by the correlations, calculated over a much longer time
period (1722-1976), between the mean JJA temperatures recorded at the Stockholm and Uppsala stations and the northern MXD chronologies, to the corresponding correlations computed for Central European chronologies and the long Central England, De Bilt, and Berlin station records (see last column in Table 3; Table S1).

Comparison of the spatial patterns of MXD summer temperature signals (Fig. 3) and the spatial patterns of the long European station record’s summer temperature signals (Fig. S1) reveals increasing distance between the proxy sites and station locations is an additional source of correlation decay. The significant correlations (p < 0.05) between the northern MXD data and the gridded temperature data are spatially more homogeneous, reaching southward to a line across Northern Germany towards Ukraine. The significant portions of the overall weaker and more heterogeneous patterns of the Central European MXD data are centered over the Alps reaching into the central Mediterranean and the Balkans. The spatial overlap between the correlation patterns of the central MXD sites and the long station records (Figs. 3 and S1) indicates that the distance between proxy and station data affects the correlation results over the long 1722-1976 period in Central Europe, which is particularly obvious for the Mediterranean PYR site (Tab. 3: \( r_{\text{Crutem4}} = 0.40; r_{\text{Stations}} = 0.17 \)). A similar feature is seen in Northern Europe, where the JAE site correlates lower than the TOR and NSC sites with the nearest grid points, but correlates better than the far northern MXD sites (TOR, NSC) with the Uppsala and Stockholm stations located in southern Sweden. These spatial associations help explain the overall better fit between the MXD-north mean timeseries and the station derived mean timeseries (JJA-north; see Fig. S2), compared to the MXD-central mean timeseries versus the Central European station mean (JJA-central). As the distance between proxy and station locations in the central portion of the network is larger, and their association is weaker, somewhat less coherent results should be expected when
estimating post-volcanic cooling effects from the MXD-central and JJA-central data over the common 1722-1976 period.

Coupled general circulation models

In addition to the European MXD and long instrumental station records, we used four millennium-long JJA temperature histories simulated by three CGCMs for the assessment of post-volcanic cooling effects (Supplementary Material). CGCM runs are typically used to attribute the influence of natural and anthropogenic forcings on climate, including the effects of explosive volcanism (Schneider et al. 2009). The simulations considered here include two millennium-long runs of the ECHO-G model (denoted Erik1 and Erik2; Zorita et al. 2005), as well as combined runs of the Max-Planck-Institute Earth System Model Paleoclimate version (MPI-ESM-P) and the Community Climate System Model version 4 (CCSM4; Gent et al. 2011) downloaded from the CMIP5 archive (Taylor et al. 2012; Fernández-Donado et al. 2013).

We extracted and averaged the simulated temperatures from each model run at five grid points in the vicinity of the northern MXD and station sites to produce a composite, simulated, JJA timeseries (CGCM-north; Fig. S3). The same procedure was applied to the seven grid points in vicinity to the central MXD chronologies and their corresponding long central stations (CGCM-central). The model composites are later used for comparison with the proxy derived, volcanic cooling estimates from 1111-1976. Note the simulated temperatures correlate only weakly between the four model runs in Northern Europe ($R_{1111-1976} = 0.12$) and Central Europe ($R_{1111-1976} = 0.08$), possibly related to the limited geographical region and the varying external forcings used in each model. Whereas the CCSM4 run has
been forced with the aerosol deposition data from Gao et al. (2008), Erik1 and Erik2 were forced using eruption estimates from Crowley (2000), and MPI-ESM-P with estimates from Crowley et al. (2008). The simulated summer temperatures also indicate slightly differing long-term trends from 1722-1976, as compared to the JJA-north and JJA-central station means (Fig. S3).

Superposed epoch analysis (SEA)

To assess post-volcanic cooling, we used SEA (Panofsky and Brier 1958) with (i) the temperature-transformed MXD site chronologies and their regional means (MXD-north, MXD-central), (ii) the long instrumental station records and their means (JJA-north, JJA-central), and (iii) the simulated JJA temperatures of the four CGCM runs and their means (CGCM-north, CGCM-central). In this experiment, the five years before and after a volcanic eruption are analyzed. Instrumental JJA temperature measurements, and their MXD-based and CGCM estimates, are expressed as anomalies with respect to the mean of the five years preceding the eruptions (years -5 to -1). SEA is applied to the 34 annually dated VEI ≥ 5 events, documented by the GVP (Siebert et al. 2010), over the 1111-1976 C.E. period, as well as the five additional subsets of those eruptions (SEA2-6 in Table 1). We also considered 40 volcanic events derived from sulfate aerosol layers in Greenland and Antarctic ice cores (SEA 7) and those eruptions used in a previous NH, living-tree MXD study of cooling patterns by Briffa et al. (1998) (Supplementary Material).

Results
Analysis of millennial-length MXD chronologies revealed severe post-volcanic summer cooling in Northern Europe and a reduced, but temporally extended, response in Central Europe associated with 34, precisely located and dated, large eruptions between 1111-1976 C.E. (Fig. 4). Northern European JJA temperatures, in year 1 and year 2 after the volcanic events, are -0.28 and -0.52°C. The individual MXD site chronologies from Scandinavia indicate fairly homogeneous patterns in these years (see the thin curves in Fig. 4) with a spread about their mean departure as small as ±0.08 °C at lag +1 and ±0.05°C at lag +2. Summer temperatures in Northern Europe rebound to +0.25°C by the fourth post-volcanic year. Cooling in Central Europe lasts until the fourth post-volcanic year (minimum at lag +1 = -0.18°C) and gradually returns to +0.02°C in year five after eruptions. However, relative to the temperature variations prior to stratospheric events (years -5 to -1), only the post-volcanic response in Northern Europe appears exceptional. In Central Europe, the post-volcanic deviations do not differ significantly from the centralized pre-volcanic estimates.

The 1111-1976 C.E. MXD derived, SEA temperature estimates (Fig. 4a) are strikingly similar to those found in both the 1722-1976 MXD and 1722-1976 instrumental station records (Figs. 4b and c), though the spread of SEA temperatures over the shorter period that contains 15 eruptions is larger. In Northern Europe, the dominating feature is the strong cooling in the second post-volcanic year, followed by a dramatic warming (+0.93°C station data) in the fourth. A similar pattern is evident in Central Europe where the JJA-central cooling signal (minimum -0.22°C) in year +1 vanishes among the pre- and post-volcanic temperature variations. Differences between the Central European MXD and instrumental SEA patterns, especially the station’s positive anomaly in the fourth post-eruption year, are likely related to (i) the varying spatial coverage of the central MXD and observational data (Figs. 3 and S1), (ii) the unexplained temperature variance in the proxy data (larger in Central Europe compared to Northern Europe; Table 3), and (iii) the reduced number of VEI ≥ 5 volcanic
events since 1722 C.E. (n = 15), producing larger uncertainties (e.g., increased variance of the
Central European MXD site’s response in Fig. 4b).

The SEA results reveal stronger post-volcanic responses to tropical eruptions as compared to
NH events (Figs. 4d and e) over the past 900 years, but relatively minor differences as a
consequence of eruption size (i.e., < 1.5 vs. ≥ 1.5 \(10^9\) m\(^3\) tephra volume; Supplementary
Material). It remains unclear whether the increased tropical eruption signature is due to the
volcano’s location – and associated increased stratospheric transport (Trepte and Hitchman
1992) – or driven by eruption size, as the mean tephra volume of the low latitude events (18.8
\(10^9\) m\(^3\)) is much larger than the high latitude events (3.7 \(10^9\) m\(^3\)). Also varying sulfur contents
might contribute to the differentiation between Tropical and NH eruptions.

The strong temperature cooling found in Northern Europe following VEI ≥ 5 eruptions
diminishes if ice-core derived volcanic events (Gao et al. 2008) are considered in the SEA
(Fig. 5; details in Fig. S4), pointing to the importance of utilizing annually dated eruption data
when assessing post-volcanic effects. Of course, the simulated Northern European summer
temperatures indicate severe post-volcanic cooling in response to the Gao et al. (2008) events,
if the CGCM (here CCSM4) has been forced with the same aerosol injection estimates (Fig.
S5). In this case, post-volcanic cooling is much larger in the regional CCSM4 output (-0.90°C
and -0.80°C at lags +1 and +2) than the cooling seen in both the MXD and instrumental data.
The overall variance among the four CGCMs considered in the SEAs is significantly high,
compared to the proxy and observational data, pointing to the limited validity of simulated
temperatures at the scale of continental Europe (Supplementary Material).

The assessment of post-volcanic cooling in the context of the full spectrum of summer
temperature variance over the 1111-1976 C.E. (n = 866 years) and 1722-1976 C.E. (n = 255
years) periods indicates that only mean deviations at lag +2 in Northern Europe differ
significantly (p < 0.05; Mann–Whitney–Wilcoxon test) from the mean of all years (Fig. 6).
Temperature cooling in year 1 after the stratospheric event – the most striking signal found in
Central Europe – is not significantly different from the mean of all years, even if the overall
variance of summer temperatures is less in Central Europe compared to Northern Europe (see
the density functions in Fig. 6). Visualization of the annual temperature estimates
demonstrates that (i) a number of post-volcanic JJA anomalies are actually positive (i.e., on
the right side of the centered distributions), and (ii) there are frequent cool years that are not
associated with stratospheric volcanic events. The latter finding is likely constrained by the
incompleteness of the volcanic record particularly during the earlier centuries of the past 900
years, albeit this argument is not valid for the shorter 1722-1976 C.E. period. The positive
deviations point to the importance of "unforced" internal variability of the climate system at
the European scale (Jungclaus et al. 2010).

Discussion and Conclusions

The analysis of an MXD network covering the past 900 years, and comparison with long
instrumental records since 1722 C.E., revealed severe summer temperature cooling two years
after stratospheric volcanic clouds in Northern Europe and a generally weaker response in
Central Europe. This spatial pattern supports findings based on a compilation of shorter proxy
and instrumental records (including documentary evidence) in response to selected tropical
eruptions (Fischer et al. 2007). However, the thermal cooling reported here, based on a
complete set of annually dated VEI ≥ 5 eruptions from the NH extratropics and tropics, is
weaker than that reported in Fischer et al. (2007) and, in Northern Europe, delayed by one
year (lag +2 instead of lag +1). Tests with respect to (i) eruption size (1–1.5 vs. ≥ 1.5 \(10^9\) m\(^3\))
tephra volume), (ii) volcano location (NH vs. tropics), (iii) time period (1111-1976 vs. 1722-1976 C.E.), and (iv) volcanic forcing data (documentary vs. ice core reconstructed) demonstrate sensitivity of the cooling estimates to the selection criterion of eruptions. The marginal post-volcanic signals in both the Northern European MXD data and the long instrumental station data, in response to ice core derived sulfate deposition signatures (Gao et al. 2008), suggests caution should be used when considering these volcanic forcing estimates in CGCM studies (Solomon et al. 2007).

**Documented versus ice core reconstructed volcanic histories**

The documented and annually dated eruption data used here for the assessment of post-volcanic cooling indicates a higher frequency of stratospheric events during the more recent centuries of the past 900 years. There is a noticeable reduction of VEI ≥ 5 eruptions before 1450 C.E. (n = 2 events; Table 1), likely caused by incomplete documentary evidence from sparsely populated regions prior to the 16th century. During this early period a number of major volcanic events, including the 1258/59 unknown (Zielinski 1995) and 1452/53 Kuwae eruptions (Hammer et al. 1980; Sigl et al. 2013), have been identified in ice core acid layers from Greenland and Antarctica (Oppenheimer 2003; Kurbatov et al. 2006). These events are represented in the Gao et al. (2008) sulfate aerosol injection estimates used here for comparison of regional scale cooling effects. However, throughout the 1111-1976 C.E. period, only nine of the 40 NH and tropical stratospheric events included in Gao et al. (2008) match the annually dated VEI ≥ 5 events recognized by the GVP (Siebert et al. 2010).

The conclusion from these cooling estimates, based on documented versus ice core reconstructed volcanic histories, is somewhat ambivalent. The potentially missing
stratospheric events during earlier centuries of the past 900 years suggests cooling estimates
from documented eruptions are too small, yet the substantially reduced Northern European
cooling obtained from the ice core derived events contradicts this qualification. This
conflicting result is likely related to dating uncertainties inherent to the ice core data (Hammer
et al. 1986; Robock and Free 1995) biasing the SEA-derived cooling estimates towards
smaller deviations. Such an interpretation is supported by recent analyses of ice cores from
high accumulation sites, questioning the dating of major volcanic events, including the
1452/53 Kuwae eruption (Plummer et al. 2012; Sigl et al. 2013) and challenging the common
practice of using particular sulfuric acid layers as markers (e.g. 1258/59; Langway et al. 1988)
to align stratigraphy between drill sites (Baillie 2008, 2010). The MXD network analyzed
here indicates there were severe cooling events in 1453 C.E. in Northern Europe (coldest year
of the past 900 years; labeled in Fig. 2) and 1258 C.E. in Central Europe (fourth coldest year;
see also Fig. S6). The distinct cooling pattern identified at lag +2 in Northern Europe
aggregated over 34 annually dated VEI ≥ 5 eruptions, implies the eruption associated with
1453 C.E. cooling even occurred as early as 1451 C.E. Admittedly, this inference is
constrained by the limited geographical region (Northern Europe) represented by the MXD
network in this study (Fig. 3) and the particular response to any single eruption as opposed to
the overall mean signal.

Post-volcanic temperature patterns

The northern European cooling pattern reported here appears particularly robust, as the
regional MXD data share a high degree of common variance and contain a strong climate
signal (64% of MXD-north variance explained by JJA temperatures). The similarity between
the SEA results derived from the northern MXD data over the past 900 years, and the
northern European instrumental data over the past 260 years, aids the detection of a volcanic signal two years after an eruption. The signal is likely associated with a positive (negative) sea level pressure and 500 hPa geopotential height anomaly over the central North Atlantic (eastern Scandinavia), connected to anomalous northwesterly and northerly flows towards central Europe (Fischer et al. 2007), suggesting a dynamical response to sub-continental cooling exists.

The high latitude post-volcanic cooling found here is much stronger than the signal reported by Briffa et al. (1998) for the NH extratropics (-0.11°C at lag +1), based on an analysis of a large-scale MXD network in response to 31 selected eruptions over the past 600 years (Fig. S4d). The Briffa et al. (1998) experiment, which also included MXD data from low latitude sites, produces an even weaker response (-0.08°C at lag +1 and -0.07 at lag +2) when strictly considering the annually dated NH and tropical VEI ≥ 5 eruptions since 1400 C.E. (SEA 1 in Table 1; n = 27 events). The much smaller temperature deviations in the Briffa et al. (1998) NH extratropical MXD network, compared to our findings from Europe, suggest spatially heterogeneous temperature patterns mitigate post-volcanic effects at the hemispheric scale.

Significance of cooling estimates

In Central Europe the lower coherence among MXD sites, as well as the weaker climate signal of the central portion of the network (27% of MXD-central variance explained by JJA temperatures), may bias the post-volcanic estimates towards reduced deviations, thereby affecting the statistical evaluation of significant cooling events with respect to the full spectrum of reconstructed summer temperature variability (Fig. 6). On the other hand, the weak post-volcanic signal seen in the central MXD data over the past 900 years is also found
in the long instrumental station records over the past 260 years suggesting a lower summer
temperature sensitivity to stratospheric volcanic clouds in Central Europe. The similar
temperature patterns found in the MXD based and instrumentally based SEAs indicates that
those factors which could potentially bias the MXD network response, including enhanced
tree growth due to increased diffuse light in post-volcanic years (Farquhar and Roderick
2003), are negligible (see also Krakauer and Randerson 2003). Our findings indicate that the
prominent cooling following Tambora in 1816 C.E. (the "year without a summer"; Stothers
1984), as well as in 1912 C.E. (perhaps Novarupta), resulted from stratospheric volcanic
clouds that caused atypical summer cooling over Central Europe.

It is important to note that the post-volcanic cooling estimates presented here are spatially
restricted to Europe and cannot be transferred to global or even hemispheric dimensions. At
this limited continental scale the density, length and quality of both the MXD network and
long instrumental station data is unique, enabling assessments of cooling effects based on an
exceptionally large number of stratospheric events (34 over the 1111-1976 C.E., and 15 over
the 1722-1976 C.E. period). The key finding derived from this condition suggests the
relaxation time of eruption induced climate anomalies to be on the order of at most a few
years. This finding questions how large volcanic eruptions might initiate decadal, or even
centennial scale, temperature changes through feedback mechanisms in the climate system
(Crowley 2000; Robock 2000; Grove 2001; Schneider et al. 2009). While the temporally
limited climate response, together with the reduced sensitivity found in response to ice-core
derived forcing timeseries, belies the ability of large volcanic eruptions to initiate long-term
temperature changes through feedback mechanisms in the climate system, there may be
longer relaxation times in other systems – e.g. sea ice (Miller et al. 2012) and ocean
temperatures (Church et al. 2005, Gleckler et al. 2006).
This conclusion is supported by the significance of observed, post-volcanic cooling with respect to the full spectrum of summer temperature variability found over the past 900 and 260 years. Figure 6 shows only the lag +2 cooling events in Northern Europe deviate at the 95% level from the mean summer temperature of all years over these periods. In Central Europe, the maximum likelihood of post-volcanic temperature cooling reaches approximately 80-85% (JJA-central over the 1722-1976 C.E. period at lag +1). Further research on (i) the dating uncertainty of eruptions, particularly during the MWP-LIA transition period (Esper et al. 2002) during which a global reorganization of climate has been suggested (Graham et al. 2007), as well as (ii) the development of millennial scale MXD records, that are less biased by biological memory effects than TRW records (Frank et al. 2007, Esper et al. 2007a), is needed to assess the ability of stratospheric volcanic clouds to trigger long-term temperature changes.

Eruption selection schemes

Besides the length of skillful temperature reconstructions, the identification and selection of eruption years appears relevant when assessing post-volcanic cooling effects. Consideration of invariable selection criteria (e.g. tephra volume > 1.0 \(10^9\) m\(^3\)) seems advisable, particularly if the period covered by the temperature reconstructions, and thereby the number of volcanic events, is limited. SEA results based on just a dozen eruptions will be sensitive to the inclusion or exclusion of single events, e.g. inclusion of a certain VEI 5 (or even VEI 4) event but exclusion of another VEI 5 event, for example. Similarly, inclusion of selected dendro- or ice-core derived events – or temporal shifting of the ice core data to match the temperature proxies – is not recommended as such procedures would likely advance inflated post-volcanic cooling estimates. The approach used here, considering only the annually dated events exceeding a pre-defined VEI threshold, is again constrained by differing sulfur
emission magnitudes and eruption plume altitudes. These climatically important measures vary considerably among the VEI = 5 eruptions, for example.

The results shown here using state-of-the-art CGCMs suggest consideration of simulated post-volcanic cooling estimates, as a guideline for empirically based estimates, is not advisable at the sub-continental scale. The simulated summer temperatures over Central and Northern Europe do not cohere among the models, a finding that is largely controlled by the differing volcanic histories used to force the models. As expected, the simulated post-volcanic cooling effects appear much larger if the CGCM runs are aligned by the exact same volcanic events used to force the models. In addition, differences in the models innate climate dynamics, as well as the limited geographical region (grid points in Central and Northern Europe) likely contribute to the inconsistency among the simulations.
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**Table and Figure Captions**

**Table 1** Volcanic eruptions. The 34 annually dated and documented volcanic eruptions (VEI index ≥ 5) in the NH extratropics and tropics from 1111-1976 C.E. SEAs 2-7 indicate subsets of events used to estimate JJA temperature responses in European MXD chronologies. Bottom two lines summarize the temperature response in the second post-eruption year in Northern Europe and the first post-eruption year in Central Europe.

**Table 2** European MXD chronologies. Period refers to the time span during which replication exceeds two MXD measurement series (-181 denotes 181 B.C.). Mean replication is the average number of MXD measurement series over the 1111-1976 C.E. common period. Lag 1 autocorrelation is calculated for the NegExp detrended chronologies over the same period. MXD-north and MXD-central are the mean timeseries of the three MXD site chronologies from Northern Europe (JAE, TOR, NSC) and the four MXD site chronologies in Central Europe (PYR, LAU, LOE, TIR).

**Table 3** JJA temperature signals of European MXD chronologies. Pearson correlation coefficients of the MXD site and regional mean chronologies with JJA temperatures of the nearest grid points from the Crutem4 dataset (Jones et al. 2012) over the 1901-1976 period, together with the correlations with JJA mean temperatures of the long station records in Northern Europe (Uppsala and Stockholm) and Central Europe (Central England, De Bilt, and Berlin) over the 1722-1976 period.

**Fig. 1** NSC maximum latewood density data. a NegExp detrended single MXD measurement series (black) shown together with their bi-weighted robust mean (red) over the 1111-1976 C.E. period. b and c, Same as in a, but shown over the earliest (1111-1140 C.E.) and latest (1947-1976 C.E.) 30-year periods.

**Fig. 2** European maximum latewood density records. MXD site chronologies (black) from a Northern (JAE, TOR, NSC) and b Central Europe (PYR, LAU, LOE, TIR) over their common period 1111-1976 C.E. Records were smoothed using a 30-year filter. Blue and red curves are the regional mean timeseries derived from averaging the unsmoothed site records in Northern (blue) and Central Europe (red) respectively. The years of the four most negative deviations are labeled. c Temporal sample depth of all MXD measurement series (stem radii) within each site chronology in Northern (bluish colors) and Central Europe (reddish colors). The well-replicated site chronologies in Northern (NSC) and Central Europe (PYR, LOE) are labeled. d 100-year running inter-site correlations among the three northern (blue) and central site chronologies (red), and between the northern and central regional records (grey).
Fig. 3 MXD temperature signals. Maps showing the correlation patterns of MXD site chronologies (red dots) with gridded JJA mean temperatures (Mitchell and Jones 2005) over the common 1901-1976 period (p < 5%). Bottom panels indicate the results for the regional mean timeseries, MXD-north and MXD-central.

Fig. 4 Superposed Epoch Analyses centered on large volcanic eruptions of the past nine centuries. a JJA temperature patterns of MXD-north (blue) and MXD-central (red) five years before and after the 34 large volcanic eruptions (VEI index ≥ 5) within the 1111-1976 C.E. period (SEA1 in Table 1). Thin curves are the SEA timeseries of the individual MXD site records JAE, TOR, and NSC in Northern Europe, and PYR, LAU, LOE, and TIR in Central Europe. b Same as in a, but for the 15 eruptions of the 1722-1976 C.E. period (SEA2). c, Same as in b, but using the JJA instrumental temperatures (instead of the MXD-derived estimates). d and e, Same as in a, but for the 21 eruptions located in the NH extratropics and 13 eruptions in the (NH and SH) tropics, respectively. All SEA timeseries expressed as temperature anomalies with respect to the five years preceding the volcanic events (lags -5 to -1).

Fig. 5 Summarized SEA results for stratospheric volcanic events at lag 0, +1, and +2 in the MXD-north (blue), MXD-central (red), JJA-north (light blue), and JJA-central (light red) datasets.

Fig. 6 Distributions of reconstructed and recorded JJA temperatures over the 1111-1976 and 1722-1976 C.E. periods. Left column shows temperatures in Northern Europe two years after volcanic eruptions (SEA1: lag +2), right column shows temperatures in Central Europe one year after volcanic eruptions (SEA1: lag +1). Green curves indicate density functions (bandwidth = 0.3) of JJA temperature anomalies with respect to the 1111-1976 and 1722-1976 periods (thin grey and red lines; 866 years in the top panels, 255 years in the middle and bottom panels). Red lines indicate summer temperatures in 34 post-volcanic years (lag +2 in the left, and lag +1 in the right column). Bold red lines and triangles indicate the mean temperature of these lag years. Bold black lines and triangles indicate the mean temperature of all years. Results are for MXD-based (top and middle panels) and observational (bottom panels) JJA temperatures.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Volcano and Region</th>
<th>VEI*</th>
<th>Lat.</th>
<th>Tephra†</th>
<th>Long period (SEA1)</th>
<th>Short period (SEA2)</th>
<th>Large events (SEA3)</th>
<th>Small events (SEA4)</th>
<th>Extratropics (SEA5)</th>
<th>Tropics (SEA6)</th>
<th>Gao08 (NH)**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1262</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Katla (Iceland)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>64°N</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1362</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Oraefajokull (Iceland)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>64°N</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1471</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sakura-Jima (Japan)</td>
<td>5?</td>
<td>32°N</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1477</td>
<td>1?</td>
<td>Bardarbunga (Iceland)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>65°N</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1563</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Agua de Pau (Azores)</td>
<td>5?</td>
<td>38°N</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1586</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Kelut (Indonesia)</td>
<td>5?</td>
<td>8°S</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1593</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Raung (Indonesia)</td>
<td>5?</td>
<td>8°S</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1600</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Huaynaputina (Peru)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17°S</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1625</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Katla (Iceland)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>64°N</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1630</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Furnas (Azores)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>38°N</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1631</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Vesuvius (Italy)</td>
<td>5?</td>
<td>41°N</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1640</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Komaga-Take (Japan)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>42°N</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1641</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Parker (Philippines)</td>
<td>5?</td>
<td>6°N</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1663</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Usu (Japan)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>43°N</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1667</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Shikotsu (Japan)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>43°N</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1673</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Gamkonora (Indonesia)</td>
<td>5?</td>
<td>1°N</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1680</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Tongkoko (Indonesia)</td>
<td>5?</td>
<td>2°N</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1707</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Fuji (Japan)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>35°N</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1721</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Katla (Iceland)</td>
<td>5?</td>
<td>64°N</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1739</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Shikotsu (Japan)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>43°N</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1755</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Katla (Iceland)</td>
<td>5?</td>
<td>63°N</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1815</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Tambora (Indonesia)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8°S</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1822</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Galunggung (Indonesia)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7°S</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1835</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cosiguina (Nicaragua)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13°N</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1854</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Shiveluch (Russia)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>56°N</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1875</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Askja (Iceland)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>65°N</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1883</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Krakatau (Indonesia)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6°S</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1902</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Santa Maria (Guatemala)</td>
<td>6?</td>
<td>15°N</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1907</td>
<td>2?</td>
<td>Ksudach (Russia)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>52°N</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1912</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Novarupta (USA)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>58°N</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1913</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Colima (Mexico)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>19°N</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1933</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Kharimkotan (Kuril Isl.)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>49°N</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1956</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bezymianny (Russia)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>56°N</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Agung (Indonesia)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8°S</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Number of events | 34 | 15 | 22 | 12 | 21 | 13 | 9 |
| Mean tephra vol. (billion m³) | 9.4 | 16.9 | 14.0 | 1.1 | 3.7 | 18.8 | (23.2)§ |

| JJA temperature response | MXD-north (lag +2) | -0.52 | -0.65 | -0.46 | -0.61 | -0.41 | -0.68 | -0.15 |
|                          | MXD-central (lag +1) | -0.18 | -0.29 | -0.17 | -0.19 | -0.01 | -0.44 | -0.18 |

*Volcanic explosivity index. (?) indicates uncertain assignments.
†Estimated volume in billion m³.
**Ice core derived volcanic sulfate deposition signals from Gao et al. (2008). 9 of the total of 40 NH volcanic events reconstructed in Gao et al. (2008) for the 1111-1976 period match the VEI≥4 events listed here. All 40 NH deposition events were used in the SEA.
§Mean NH stratospheric sulfate aerosol injection (in Tg) according to Gao et al. 2008.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MXD Chronology</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Mean replication (1111-1976)</th>
<th>Lag 1 autocorrelation</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jaemtland (JAE)</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Pine</td>
<td>1111-1978</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>Gunnarson et al. 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tornetraeski (TOR)</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Pine</td>
<td>452-2004</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>Grudd 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-Scan (NSC)</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Pine</td>
<td>-181–2006</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>Esper et al. 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MXD-north</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1111–1976</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrenees (PYR)</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Pine</td>
<td>1044-2005</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>Büntgen et al. 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauenen (LAU)</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>Spruce</td>
<td>996-1976</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>Schweingruber et al. 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lötschental (LOE)</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>Larch</td>
<td>743-2004</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>Büntgen et al. 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tirol (TIR)</td>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>Spruce</td>
<td>1047-2003</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>Esper et al. 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MXD-central</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1111-1976</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MXD Chronolojy</td>
<td>Crutem4 grid point</td>
<td>Correlation with Crutem4 (1901-1976)</td>
<td>Correlation with long station record (1722-1976)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAE</td>
<td>67.5°N/12.5°E</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>67.5°N/22.5°E</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSC</td>
<td>67.5°N/22.5°E</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MXD-north</td>
<td>mean</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PYR</td>
<td>42.5°N/2.5°E</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAU</td>
<td>47.5°N/7.5°E</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOE</td>
<td>47.5°N/7.5°E</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIR</td>
<td>47.5°N/12.5°E</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MXD-central</td>
<td>mean</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 5: Temperature anomaly (°C) across different lag periods (Lag 0, Lag +1, Lag +2) for various categories: 1111-1976, 1722-1976, Tephra ≥ 1.5, Tephra < 1.5, Extratropics, Tropics, Gao08.