

Final Draft
of the original manuscript:

Floeser, G.; Burchard, H.; Riethmueller, R.:
**Observational evidence for estuarine circulation in the German
Wadden Sea**
In: Continental Shelf Research (2011) Elsevier

DOI: 10.1016/j.csr.2011.03.014

Observational evidence for estuarine circulation in the German Wadden Sea

Götz Flöser^{a*1}, Hans Burchard^b, Rolf Riethmüller^a

^a *Institute for Coastal Research, Helmholtz Centre Geesthacht, Max-Planck-Straße 1, D-21502 Geesthacht, Germany*

^b *Leibniz Institute for Baltic Sea Research Warnemünde, Seestraße 15, D-18119 Rostock, Germany*

Abstract

Observational evidence is presented that corroborates the hypothesis of the general presence of estuarine circulation in the Wadden Sea as put forward in a previous study (Burchard et al., 2008). Current velocity data from moored ADCPs (in the Hörnum Deep south of Sylt Island, 2002-2009) and ship cruises (in several locations in the German Wadden Sea, 2000-2008) were analyzed. As a general result, the vertical current profiles above the benthic boundary layer are usually more homogeneous during flood than during ebb, with a pronounced dependence on the cross-shore horizontal density difference. This tidal asymmetry consequently must lead to a residual outflow of Wadden Sea waters in the upper part and a residual inflow of water in the lower part of the water column, thus giving a generic explanation for the obvious net import of suspended sediments from the German Bight into the Wadden Sea.

Keywords: estuarine circulation, velocity profiles, Wadden Sea

1. Introduction

Wadden Sea waters are on the average much higher (20-70 g m⁻³) concentrated in suspended particulate matter (SPM) than those of the open North Sea (1-5 g m⁻³), as is obvious from satellite scenes and from in-situ measurements (Puls et al., 1997; Gemein et al., 2006; Staneva et al., 2009). Due to the shear dispersion induced by turbulent tidal water movement, this gradient should disappear within a few days or, as indicated by its persistence, erase the intertidal flats within several months. The stability of the Wadden Sea on the time scale of several thousands of years hence requires the existence of a counteracting mechanism that balances the sediment outward transport generated by the density gradient. Sediment budget estimations (Pejrup [1988a], Pejrup [1988b], Eisma [1993], Puls et al. [1997], Townend and Whitehead [2003]) often yield an inward transport of fine sediments resulting in a sea bottom rise of 0.07 - 8 mm y⁻¹ that may be altered by human influence such as the construction of dikes, land reclamation measures or closing of coastal lagoons.

It is, after several decades of research, still not clear which mechanism is dominantly responsible for that inward transport. Several mechanisms were proposed: Settling and scour lag (Postma 1954; van Straaten and Kuenen 1958; Postma 1961; Bartholdy 2000), asymmetrical ebb/flood velocity curves (Groen 1967; Dronkers 1986a,b), enhanced flocculation (Pejrup 1988a; Dyer 1994), or Stokes drift (Dyer 1988, 1994; Stanev et al. 2007).

¹ Corresponding author: email floeser@hzg.de, phone +49 4152 87 2345, fax +49 4152 87 1525

1 The various proposed mechanisms were discussed in Burchard et al. (2008) and found to be
2 either applicable only in parts of the Wadden Sea or during part of the year.

3 Lumborg et al. (2003, 2005) has set up a numerical suspended sediment transport model for
4 the Sylt-Rømø Bight (Figure 1) that comprises hydrodynamic forcing from 2-D currents and
5 waves as well as biological processes that control the settling velocities, sedimentation and
6 resuspension / erodibility. For a one year simulation period, the model computes net inward
7 transport of suspended matter that corresponds to sediment growth of 0.1 mm y^{-1} in
8 reasonable agreement with results from dated sediment cores, whereby single storm events
9 have the potential to nearly annihilate the steady inward transport of several months.

10 Lumborg et al. (2003, 2005) did not put forward a prominent process that drives the inward
11 transport of suspended matter. Settling and scour lag are mentioned as potential mechanisms,
12 but due to the manifold of non-linear interactions between the physical and biological
13 processes included in the model, an individual contribution was not – and perhaps can not be
14 – separated. Furthermore, estuarine circulation was excluded from this model study due to the
15 use of vertically integrated equations.

16 Burchard et al. (2008) have proposed estuarine circulation as an alternative, quite generic
17 physical process based on the observation of a nearly persistent horizontal density gradient
18 between Wadden Sea (less dense) and German Bight (denser) waters that is higher in winter
19 and spring than in summer / autumn. This effect is known from tidal estuaries where
20 significant Estuarine Turbidity Maxima develop in the area of the upstream end of the salt
21 intrusion, but was largely ignored so far in the Wadden Sea because most areas in the tidal
22 basins between the Danish and the Eastern Dutch Wadden Sea do not exhibit significant river
23 runoff. The reason for this horizontal density gradient, mostly due to the salinity differences,
24 is simply the relative shallowness of the area: in the temperate humid climate, the excess of
25 precipitation over evaporation leads to a lower salinity in shallower waters. Another factor
26 that contributes to the salinity gradient is the direct runoff from the mainland via floodgates.

27 The transport mechanism consisting of gravitational circulation (Postma and Kalle 1954,
28 Festa and Hansen 1978) and tidal straining (Jay and Musiak 1994, Burchard and Baumert
29 1998, Burchard and Hetland 2010) was theoretically adapted to the Wadden Sea in Burchard
30 et al. (2008) and numerically simulated in a one-dimensional vertical model with the observed
31 horizontal temperature and salinity gradients as input as well as with a three-dimensional
32 model with a salinity gradient forced by realistic net precipitation and river run-off. During
33 ebb, the vertical current profiles transform the horizontal density gradient into a vertical
34 stratification damping the effect of tidal stirring, whereas on the opposite its breakdown
35 during flood is accelerated by tidal stirring. The most important result of the stratification is
36 that vertical current profiles above the benthic boundary layer are more homogeneous during
37 flood than during ebb and the residual currents point outwards of the Wadden Sea in the upper
38 part of the water column and inwards in the lower part. Given that the suspended matter
39 concentration profiles increase towards the bed, this effect would lead to a steady net inward
40 transport of suspended materials over a realistic range of settling velocities.

41 Testing this model by direct observation of the suspended sediment transport is hardly
42 possible for two reasons: First, the sediment budget of the Wadden Sea is nearly balanced
43 even at the scale of several years. Ebb and flood transport masses would be nearly identical.
44 Second, in order to detect a statistically significant net transport as a difference of two large
45 numbers would require a substantial observational effort given the high temporal and spatial
46 variability of suspended sediments that cannot be achieved with reasonable means over a
47 longer observational period.

48 This study therefore concentrates on one specific hydrodynamic key feature predicted by the
49 model: the above described ebb/flood asymmetry in the vertical shape of the current profiles,

1 and its dependence on the horizontal density gradient. If this feature is indeed observed, it can
2 be concluded with high probability that density induced estuarine circulation is indeed a
3 mechanism that transports suspended sediments against the concentration gradient into the
4 Wadden Sea. To assure that this mechanism prevails in time and space, time series over
5 months to years at fixed positions and from surveys at different regions of the German
6 Wadden Sea are analysed here.

8 **2. Study Area**

9 The observations were carried out at three locations of the German Wadden Sea, the coastal
10 fringe of the German Bight in the North Sea (Figure 1): the Sylt-Rømø and Hörnum tidal
11 basins in the North Frisian Wadden Sea and the tidal inlet Accumer Ee between the East
12 Frisian Islands of Baltrum and Langeoog. The Sylt-Rømø basin is a semi-enclosed back
13 barrier tidal inlet, bordered by the islands of Rømø (Denmark) and Sylt (Germany) in the west
14 and the mainland in the east. The watersheds in the north and south are locked by artificial
15 causeways, leaving the Lister Deep between Rømø and Sylt as the only connection with the
16 German Bight. The bight comprises an area of approximately 400 km² and a low water
17 volume of 0.6·10⁹ m³. The mean tidal prism amounts to the same value (Lumborg and
18 Windelin, 2003) at an average tidal amplitude of 1.8 m. Two small rivers Brede Å and Vidå
19 are the fresh water sources to the area. However, these rivers only contribute approximately
20 0.2 % of the tidal prism over one tidal cycle. The water column is thus well mixed and the
21 estuary classifies as a well-mixed coastal plain estuary.

22 The Hörnum tidal basin, surrounded by the mainland and the islands of Sylt, Amrum and
23 Föhr follows directly in the south. It covers an area of about 300 km² and is connected to the
24 North Sea by the Hörnum Deep and to its southern basins by two shallow inlets. The low
25 water volume is about 0.4·10⁹ m³, the mean tidal prism amounts to about 0.5·10⁹ m³ and the
26 average tidal amplitude is about 2.3 m (BSH, 1998). Based on two surveys, Ross et al. (1998)
27 estimated that over a tide, the basin gains water of a volume of some 10 % of the tidal prism
28 through the shallow tidal inlets (between the island Föhr and the mainland, and between
29 Amrum and Föhr) around high water, and exports this net excess volume through the Hörnum
30 Deep. There are no significant freshwater sources discharging into the Hörnum Deep.

31 The third area is the Accumer Ee, the tidal inlet between the barrier islands of Langeoog and
32 Baltrum in the East Frisian Wadden Sea. Here, the mean tidal amplitude is 2.8 m, the back-
33 barrier catchment area amounts to 90 km², its low water volume is 0.08·10⁹ m³ and with a
34 tidal prism of 0.19·10⁹ m³ it is smaller than the considered areas in the North Frisian Wadden
35 Sea. Freshwater runoff coming from the mainland is discharged through the floodgates and
36 amounts to only about 1.5 m³ s⁻¹, discharged mostly during low water slack (NLWK, 2000).

37 Thus, in all three areas the average water depth at sea level is 2-2.5 m.

39 **3. Materials and Methods**

40 Two types of datasets were analysed. The first set comprised data from fixed stations at
41 several locations in the Sylt-Rømø and Hörnum bight (s. Figure 1). An upward looking RDI
42 broadband Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (ADCP), operating at a frequency of 1200 kHz,
43 was fixed in the bed sediments of the main tidal channels at average water depths of 20 m
44 (Lister Deep), 17.5 m (Lister Ley), 18.5 m (Høyer Deep), 11 m (Rømø Deep), and 9.5 m
45 (Hörnum Deep). The first four moorings in the Sylt- Rømø bight lasted for three to four
46 weeks in 1996 and 1997, resp. The Hörnum Deep mooring started in 2002 and is still in
47 operation. Here, the ADCPs are replaced by identical systems every two to three months to

1 download the data and to exchange batteries. In this study all Hörnum Deep data until July
 2 2009 were considered. In general, the ADCPs were operated in mode 5 with a ping repetition
 3 time of 1 min, an ensemble repetition time varying between 10 and 30 minutes and a vertical
 4 bin resolution of 0.25 m except for the Hörnum Deep mooring in 2006/07 where the vertical
 5 bin size was set to 0.5 m. The ADCPs' roll and pitch angle was in the range -10° to 10° and
 6 changed usually less than 5° during the instrument's operating time.

7 In addition, poles carrying a set of standard oceanographic sensors about 1 to 1.5 m above the
 8 bed were fixed into the sediment at the margin of the tidal channels approximately at 3 m low
 9 water depth. The systems are described in more detail in Lane et al. (2000) and Onken et al.
 10 (2007). The along channel distance between the locations of the ADCPs and the poles varied
 11 from 1 to 5 km. The pole sensors deliver data as 10 minute averages from a 2 Hz sampling. In
 12 this study only water temperature and specific conductivity were considered, and salinities
 13 and water densities were computed from these parameters using the UNESCO formulae
 14 (Fofonoff, 1983). The poles were – due to the danger of ice damaging the cables and sensors
 15 – taken out of the water in November and placed back in March every year.

16 The second set of data stems from several ship cruises that were performed between May
 17 2000 and April 2008 along cross-sectional transects and anchored stations in the Lister Deep,
 18 the Hörnum Deep and the Accumer Ee (Figure 1) using the research vessel “FS Ludwig
 19 Prandtl”. Vertical current profiles were continuously measured by means of an RDI 1200 kHz
 20 Zedhead ADCP running with a resolution of 0.25 m. The uppermost bin was, on average,
 21 about 2 m below sea surface, the lowest 0.25 m above the seabed. At selected locations,
 22 vertical profiles of water temperature and specific conductivity were measured using a CTD
 23 probe and from these data, salinity and water density was computed. Recording rate was 8 Hz
 24 for all experiments and the profiling velocity about 0.25 m s^{-1} . During fixed stations, vertical
 25 profiles were taken every 30 minutes; during cruises the probe was towed from shipboard at a
 26 speed of max. 5 knots, at a water depth of 1-2 m.

27 In order to quantify the shape of the vertical current profiles in a consistent way, the following
 28 procedure was applied. For the moored ADCP, data during 2.4 hours around the current
 29 maximum were averaged. The ship-borne data were averaged over 1 minute each. These
 30 averaged profiles were fitted according to the logarithmic law-of-the-wall profile:

$$31 \quad u(z) = a \ln \frac{z}{b}. \quad (1)$$

32 Here, $u(z)$ is the current velocity profile, z the distance from the seabed, and a and b constants.
 33 For comparability, only the data from the lowest bin up to the low water level were
 34 considered. In our analysis, a and b are regarded only as pure integration constants, where the
 35 depth-averaged current velocity is independent of a , so b controls the shape of the vertical
 36 profiles: a lower b means more homogeneously distributed currents above the benthic
 37 boundary layer and vice versa (Figure 2). In 96 percent of the cases, the R^2 value of the fit
 38 was below 0.001 (in order to account for the varying number of data points, the R^2 value was
 39 divided by the number of data points). Only those 4 percent of the cases where R^2 was above
 40 0.001 were excluded from the analysis. Generally, the logarithm of the ratio b_{ebb}/b_{flood} is
 41 evaluated.

42 In order to account for different water depths and current velocities in estuaries, Burchard
 43 (2009) has proposed to use the modified horizontal Richardson number as a measure for the
 44 intensity of horizontal density gradient forcing:

$$45 \quad r_x = g \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} \frac{H^2}{\rho_0 U^2}, \quad (2)$$

1 where g is gravitational acceleration, $\partial\rho/\partial x$ the (tidally and vertically averaged) density
 2 gradient, H water depth, ρ_0 the reference density, and U the depth-averaged current velocity
 3 amplitude. Note that this is the definition of the horizontal Richardson number which is
 4 consistent with Simpson et al. (1990). Although this definition of r_x based on the current
 5 velocity amplitude is easier to handle from an observational point of view, from a theoretical
 6 point of view the definition of the horizontal Richardson number (now also denoted as the
 7 Simpson number) through the bottom velocity scale is preferred, see the discussion by
 8 Burchard et al. (2011). The horizontal density gradient $\partial\rho/\partial x$ was not observable directly.
 9 Instead, the density gradient was estimated from the difference between high and low waters,
 10 measured at the close-by observational poles or by the CTD profiler onboard the research
 11 vessel, divided by the tidal excursion estimated from the tidal velocity amplitude and the tidal
 12 period $T = 44714$ s:

$$13 \quad \hat{r}_x = \pi g \Delta\rho \frac{H^2}{\rho_0 U^3 T}, \quad (3)$$

14 which we therefore denote as the difference horizontal Richardson number. This approach –
 15 the proportionality between the high water - low water difference and the horizontal gradient
 16 – was tested for the case of the Accumer Ee tidal inlet. In the years 2000 and 2002, several
 17 cross-shore profiles of water temperature and specific conductivity along the main tidal
 18 channel were recorded. In parallel, the pole station close to the tidal inlet recorded (ten
 19 minutes average) time series of these parameters. The mean density gradient was determined
 20 by a linear fit of the measurements against latitude as the coastline has a West-East direction.
 21 The result shows (Figure 3) that the measured mean density gradient on the one hand and the
 22 density difference between high and low waters, as recorded at the pole station on the other,
 23 are statistically significantly and positively correlated. This demonstrates that the high water –
 24 low water density difference, as measured at the pole station, can be used as a reliable proxy
 25 for the density differences between the Wadden Sea and the German Bight waters.

26

27 **4. Results**

28 First, the data of the Hörnum Deep mooring are shown, because they contain by far the largest
 29 amount of data. They are fitted to the log-profile given above. For a first comparison, all ebb
 30 and flood b values are plotted in Figure 4 versus time over the entire sampling period from
 31 2002 to 2009. b varies by five orders of magnitude between 10^{-6} and 10^{-1} m. This high
 32 variability reflects its logarithmic property in the fit. It is also evident that, on the average, the
 33 ebb values are generally larger than the corresponding flood values. But at some occasions,
 34 also the opposite is observed. A comparable pattern was also found for the other moorings
 35 and ship data. To gain further insight into the considerable scatter and overlap of the data, the
 36 ebb/flood asymmetry of b was ordered by the horizontal density gradient (as expressed by the
 37 horizontal Richardson number), because this quantity should be one of the drivers of the
 38 hypothesized estuarine circulation.

39 In Figure 5, this b ratio is plotted versus the horizontal Richardson number. The data show a
 40 weak, but statistically highly significant ($p < 10^{-4}$) positive correlation. Noticeably, also
 41 events with negative horizontal Richardson numbers are present. In these cases the tidal
 42 asymmetry in b became less than one, which means that flood profiles are more curved than
 43 ebb profiles.

44 Although the relationship between b asymmetry and horizontal Richardson number is
 45 statistically significant, the scatter is quite large. The situation improves when the data pairs
 46 are averaged over larger temporal intervals. As shown in the inlet of Figure 5, the R^2 increases

1 with an inverse exponential with increasing averaging intervals. For intervals above two
2 weeks, it saturates at $R^2 = 0.95$ with a characteristic time constant of 8.7 days.

3 This large scatter and its reduction with increasing length of the sampling period can be
4 explained by the effect of the relative tidal frequency, as quantified by the inverse Strouhal
5 number,

$$6 \quad S_i = \frac{H}{UT}, \quad (4)$$

7 which varies with the fortnightly spring-neap cycle. Burchard (2009) could show that the tidal
8 dynamics varies with both, the horizontal Richardson number and the inverse Strouhal
9 number. In Figure 6, the moored Hörnum data are shown together with those from the other
10 observational points (mostly ship data). In these other cases, there is also a positive
11 correlation between the tidal b asymmetry and the horizontal Richardson number. As these
12 data are based on much smaller data sets, the correlations are statistically less significant ($p =$
13 $0.45, 0.15, 0.21$ for data from moored ADCPs in Sylt, ship data from East and North Frisia,
14 resp.) At the same time, the slope differs from location to location, with by far the highest
15 slope for the moored Hörnum data set. As indicated by the colour coding in Figure 6, the
16 major reason for this large scatter is due to the variation in the inverse Strouhal number S_i
17 between the observations. Small values of S_i dominate in the Hörnum data due to the
18 shallower water depth at this pole station (9.5 m) and still relatively high maximum current
19 velocities of more than 1 m/s (see Figure 2), whereas the other stations are significantly
20 deeper.

21 For time scales above seven days, where the correlation between the tidal b asymmetry and
22 the horizontal Richardson number becomes more predictive, the close connection between the
23 two parameters became also evident in their temporal development. Two examples are
24 introduced. After a high discharge event in April 2006 of the close-by Elbe river, the main
25 fluvial water supplier of the German Bight, the discharged water body propagated north along
26 the North Frisian coast and reached the tidal inlet of the Sylt-Rømø Bight around 20th April,
27 as conjectured from a decrease of salinity at high waters observed at the Hörnum pole station
28 (Figure 7). At that time, the generally positive cross-shore salinity – and thus density –
29 gradient was reversed for more than two weeks (i.e. the coastal water became less saline than
30 the Wadden Sea water), before it turned positive again. The b ratio follows this development
31 very closely. The behaviour is very similar in the case of the Elbe flood in August 2002 (data
32 not shown).

33 The second example refers to the seasonal dependence of both the b ratio and the horizontal
34 Richardson number, both measured and calculated for the Hörnum Deep mooring (Figure 8).
35 The monthly averages are from the period of 2002 to 2009 where both the moored ADCP and
36 the pole were in operation. This covers the months from March to October. Again the tidal b
37 asymmetry follows closely the density difference: both are highest in March and decrease
38 steadily until September where they reach a minimum. In October a slight increase is
39 observed in both cases.

40

41 **5. Discussion**

42 The purpose of this study was to find significant evidence for the presence of an estuarine
43 type of residual water circulation between the Wadden Sea and the German Bight. This
44 circulation should be driven by the prevailing but varying horizontal density gradient between
45 the Wadden Sea (most of the time less dense) and German Bight (most of the time denser)
46 waters, a feature that was observed at several long-term measuring stations in German
47 Wadden Sea bights (Burchard et al., 2008). For these conditions, Burchard et al. (2008)

1 predicted an ebb/flood asymmetry in the vertical shape of the current profiles, i.e. ebb current
2 profiles are more curved above the boundary layer than flood profiles. This asymmetry may
3 be caused by both gravitational circulation and tidal straining. In our analysis we
4 parameterised the curvature by fitting the measured profiles to a logarithmic function where b
5 acted as a measure for the degree of curvature. In most cases, the ratio of b_{ebb}/b_{flood} was found
6 to be positive. At the same time, sign and magnitude of this effect are significantly correlated
7 to the variation of the horizontal density gradient, or more generally, the horizontal
8 Richardson number, as shown in Figure 5. Although this was not part of the model study in
9 Burchard et al (2008), this behaviour should be expected (Burchard and Hetland, 2010).
10 Averaging the current profiles only over one day (i.e. about two tides), the correlation
11 coefficient amounts to only 0.22, but is statistically highly significant. It increases to 0.95
12 when averaging the selected profiles over more than 14 days, reaching 70 % for averages over
13 7 days. This means that a predictive relationship between horizontal Richardson number and
14 ebb/flood asymmetry of the profiles shows up only at time scales above about a week. Much
15 of the variability at time scales shorter than 14 days may be explained by the influence of the
16 changes in the relative tidal frequency (as quantified by the inverse Strouhal number) due to
17 the spring-neap cycle. The two shown examples, where horizontal Richardson number and
18 profile asymmetry seemed to be closely correlated in their temporal development, the Elbe
19 2006 discharge event (Figure 7) as well as the mean seasonal development (Figure 8), are
20 above this time scale.

21 In order to ascertain that these findings are no artefacts caused by hydrodynamic peculiarities
22 of the observational sites, it was investigated whether the relation between horizontal
23 Richardson number and tidal current profile asymmetry was sensitive to the ebb and flood
24 tidal maximal velocities or flood or ebb dominance. No significant effect was found in any
25 case.

26 Furthermore, the data from all of the observational sites reveal the same tendency of a
27 positive correlation between tidal current profile asymmetry and horizontal Richardson
28 number, but the statistical significance is not in all cases better than $p < 0.05$. For the Hörnum
29 Bight, no sensitivity to wind speed or wind direction was detected. This suggests that the
30 observations are of quite general nature and that estuarine circulation is a common feature
31 between the Wadden Sea and the German Bight, even for most soft bottom coastal areas with
32 intertidal flats.

33 In September and October after longer periods where evaporation over tidal flats exceeds
34 precipitation within the Wadden Sea basins (Onken and Riethmüller, 2010) or in cases of
35 significant river runoff events that lower the salinity of the innermost German Bight, the
36 horizontal density gradient and hence the horizontal Richardson number may be reversed such
37 that the flood velocity profiles are more curved than the ebb profiles. This process which in
38 autumn is enhanced by differential cooling of the Wadden Sea may lead to a transient reversal
39 of estuarine circulation even for periods in the order of several weeks.

40 To the knowledge of the authors there is only one other published study that showed an
41 explicit comparison of the curvature of vertical ebb and flood current profiles in the Wadden
42 Sea or other tidal soft bottom coasts in the world. Buijsman and Ridderinkhof (2007) fitted, out
43 of a 5 year series of ADCP data taken onboard the Marsdiep ferry in the Western Dutch
44 Wadden Sea, two sets of maximal ebb and flood current profiles taken during one day at neap
45 and spring tide, respectively. In both cases, they found stronger curvature during flood than
46 during ebb, in contrast to our general result. It is not possible to assess their finding against
47 our results, because these are only two examples taken within seven days and no information
48 about the cross-shore salinity or density gradients was given. Considering that a branch of the
49 Rhine River with $440 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ discharges into the Western Dutch Wadden Sea (Zimmerman
50 1976), the density difference between that part of the Wadden Sea and North Sea should be at

1 least of the order as observed in the German sites where freshwater discharges are small or
2 absent. Hence it is an open question whether their finding represents a general feature of the
3 Marsdiep and can be explained by other tidal asymmetries, such as the net residual transport
4 out of the Wadden Sea or the pronounced tidal asymmetries measured at Den Helder.

5 The permanent salinity and density gradient may be compared to the cases where Simpson et
6 al. (1990) and Rippeth et al. (2001) have found conditions for periodic stratification (SIPS:
7 strain-induced periodic stratification). For the Irish Sea study showing SIPS, the horizontal
8 Richardson number has been estimated to $r_x = 2 \cdot 10^{-3}$ by Burchard (2009) whereas typical
9 Wadden Sea values for permanently mixed stations are $r_x < 2 \cdot 10^{-4}$ (see Figures 5 and 6). For
10 situations with weak wind forcing, this is in accordance with the threshold values given by
11 Simpson et al. (1990), see also Verspecht et al. (2009).

12 Although the presence of estuarine circulation suggests a mechanism for a net transport of
13 suspended material into the Wadden Sea, direct conclusions from the observational findings
14 presented here have to be taken with caution, as they reflect point measurements. Because of
15 either ebb or flood dominance in the observational points, no suspended matter residual
16 transports over full tides could be calculated. This would only be possible if the
17 measurements (currents and suspended matter) would be taken at high resolution and
18 accuracy over full cross-sections for a long period. That is technically not feasible.

19 On the other hand, the proposed mechanism of estuarine circulation, as we stated in the
20 introduction, counteracts the dispersion that is due to the large horizontal suspended matter
21 concentration gradient. So, if the proposed mechanism works, it can not be observed since it
22 is balanced and thus covered up by the dispersion process. Net suspended particulate matter
23 transports into the Wadden Sea would thus result from small imbalances between landward
24 transport by estuarine circulation and seaward transport by dispersion. Thus, direct estimates
25 of net SPM transport cannot be derived from current velocity measurements.

26 Still, the findings provide some implications for the development of the sediment budgets
27 within the Wadden Sea. The horizontal density gradient shows a pronounced seasonal
28 dependence for high positive values in winter and spring down to slightly negative values in
29 September. The water densities within the Wadden Sea basins are strongly controlled by the
30 balance of precipitation and evaporation because of the relative shallowness of the waters.
31 Onken and Riethmüller (2010) have shown for the inner part of the Hörnum Bight that
32 evaporation exceeds precipitation for most of the time between March and November, most
33 probably due to enhanced heating of the tidal flats during daylight emergence. This
34 demonstrates the importance of evaporation over the tidal flats for the residual water
35 circulation in the Wadden Sea. In September and October, this circulation may come to a halt
36 or even reverse direction. In the framework of estuarine circulation that would mean reduced
37 import into or even additional export of fine sediments during these two months. On a decadal
38 time scale, regional climate model scenarios for the German Bight (Meinke and Gerstner,
39 2009) suggest an increase in winter precipitation by 32 % and winter temperatures by 3.2 °C,
40 and a decrease of summer precipitation by 17 % with increasing summer temperatures (+2.8
41 °C). That means that the mean seasonal pattern for the horizontal Richardson number as well
42 as the sign of the estuarine circulation may be altered in the future. If estuarine circulation
43 will be proven to be a significant mechanism for the net suspended sediment transports, it
44 needs to be considered for the long-term development of the fine-sediment budgets of the
45 Wadden Sea under sea level rise and climate change conditions.

46 47 **6. Conclusions and Outlook**

1 Our findings give congruent and clear evidence that estuarine circulation prevails between the
2 Wadden Sea and the (German part of the) German Bight. The data indicate a significant
3 dependence of the ebb to flood ratio of b on the density gradient, in all investigated study
4 sites.

5 In order to further test the generality of this estuarine circulation in the Wadden Sea, we try to
6 gather more existing long-term data from other sites since the majority of our data comes
7 from the Hörnum Deep, and only a minority from other parts of the Wadden Sea. A further
8 step we wish to take is to confirm our hypothesis by detailed measurements of water column
9 parameters such as vertical density stratification, suspended matter profiles and turbulent
10 dissipation rates to explain the processes driving the estuarine circulation. Furthermore, three-
11 dimensional numerical modelling will be applied to quantify the strength of estuarine
12 circulation and the subsequent net fluxes of suspended particulate matter at various locations
13 and under various meteorological conditions, to better understand the temporal and spatial
14 variability of this process.

15

16 **7. Acknowledgements**

17 We are grateful to the crew of the research vessel “Ludwig Prandtl” who helped very much in
18 performing the ship experiments, and to the technical staff responsible for installation and
19 maintenance of the pole stations and the bottom-bound ADCP, namely Helmut Bornhöft,
20 Horst Garbe, Michael Janik, Bernd Peters, Heiko Rinck, Steffen Schmidt, Albert Werner, and
21 Karin Wirth. Special regards to the Administration of the National Park Wadden Sea
22 Schleswig-Holstein who pay for the maintenance of the Hörnum Deep pole station.

23

1 **Figure captions:**

2

3 Figure 1: Satellite scene of the investigated areas in North and East Frisia (South-Eastern part
4 of the North Sea, see inset). From North to South: (1) Sylt-Rømø-Bight, (2) Hörnum Deep
5 and (3) Accumer Ee. The location of moored ADCPs (yellow circles) and of permanent
6 measuring stations (red circles) is also marked. The instruments in the Sylt-Rømø-bight were
7 deployed in 1996/97, those in the Hörnum Deep from 2002 onwards from March - November.
8 The pole in the Langeoog area (Accumer Ee) was running from 2000-2007 during the months
9 March-November. At the lower right, the mouth of the Elbe River is visible, east of the cup-
10 shaped Jade Bay the Weser River mouth.

11 Figure 2: Vertical velocity profiles from measurements on 26th April, 2002 (filled circles) and
12 8th July 2009 (open circles) by the moored ADCP in the Hörnum Deep. Solid lines are the
13 respective fits. Flood currents are positive, ebb currents negative. The b fit parameters are
14 given for each profile. The water depth is not exactly equal because locations as well as
15 bathymetry vary slightly over the years. The data have been selected considering the extreme
16 differences between the b fit parameters.

17 Figure 3: Cross-shore density gradient from 9 cruises through the East Frisian tidal inlet
18 Accumer Ee versus density difference between high and low water, measured at the pole
19 station at the time of the cruises.

20 Figure 4: b for all 2-day-velocity profiles (ebb: filled circles and flood: open circles) measured
21 by the moored ADCP in the Hörnum Deep. Note the logarithmic scale at the left y (b) axis.
22 The gaps are due to technical difficulties or unavailability of instruments. For 96 % of all
23 data, the least squares sum per data point (from the logarithmic fit to equation 1) is smaller
24 than .0001 m. The rest of the data was disregarded.

25 Figure 5: Logarithm of the ratio of b_{ebb} and b_{flood} versus the horizontal Richardson number
26 from equation (3) for all moored ADCP measurements in the Hörnum Deep. The p values for
27 the ADCP data are $< 10^{-4}$. The inset shows the increase of the correlation coefficient with the
28 averaging period with an exponential constant of 8.7 days.

29 Figure 6: Logarithm of the ratio of b_{ebb} and b_{flood} versus the horizontal Richardson number
30 from equation (3) for the data from the moored ADCP in the Hörnum Deep (as in Figure 5)
31 and other data. These data refer to ship cruises and moored ADCP data in other positions:
32 ship measurements in the Hörnum Deep (August 2003), the Sylt-Rømø-bight (May and
33 September 2003, April 2008) and in the tidal inlet Accumer Ee between the islands Langeoog
34 and Baltrum (May and August 2000, July 2001, November 2002, August 2004 and August
35 2007). Moored ADCP data from the Lister Deep (between Sylt and Rømø) are also included.
36 The colours indicate the inverse Strouhal number, calculated from equation (4). The size of
37 the fewer dots at higher Strouhal numbers has been enlarged for better visibility.

38 Figure 7: Horizontal Richardson number, as calculated from the Hörnum pole station values,
39 and the logarithm of the b ratio at ebb and flood tide versus time during and after the April
40 2006 Elbe discharge event. The arrow indicates the maximum river discharge on April 10th, at
41 the location Neu-Darchau, 200 km upstream from the river mouth.

- 1 Figure 8: Seasonal dependence of the b ratio (b_{ebb}/b_{flood}), derived from the bottom-bound
- 2 ADCP data, and of the horizontal Richardson number from equation (3) as measured at the
- 3 pole station in the Hörnum Deep.

1 **References**

- 2 Bartholdy, J., 2000. Processes controlling import of fine-grained sediments to tidal areas: A
3 simulation model. *Coastal and Estuarine Environments: Sedimentology, Geomorphology and*
4 *Geoarchaeology*, Pye, K. and Allen, J.R.L. (Eds.), Geological Society of London, 435 pp.
- 5 BSH, 1998. Der Wasseraustausch im Tidebecken Hörnum-Tief. *Berichte des Bundesamtes*
6 *für Seeschifffahrt und Hydrographie* Nr. 16.
- 7 Buijsman, M.C., Ridderinkhof, H., 2007. Long-term ferry-ADCP observations of tidal
8 currents in the Marsdiep inlet. *J. Sea Res.* 57, 237-256.
- 9 Burchard, H., 2009. Combined effects of wind, tide and horizontal density gradients on
10 stratification in estuaries and coastal seas. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* 39, 2117-2136.
- 11 Burchard, H., Baumert, H., 1998. The formation of estuarine turbidity maxima due to density
12 effects in the salt wedge. A hydrodynamic process study. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* 28, 309-321.
- 13 Burchard, H., Flüser, G., Staneva, J.V., Badewien, T.H., Riethmüller, R., 2008. Impact of
14 density gradients on Net Sediment Transport into the Wadden Sea. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* 38,
15 566-587.
- 16 Burchard, H., Hetland, R.D., 2010. Quantifying the contributions of tidal straining and
17 gravitational circulation to residual circulation in periodically stratified tidal estuaries, *J. Phys.*
18 *Oceanogr.* 40, 1243-1262.
- 19 Burchard, H., R.D. Hetland, E. Fischer, and H.M. Schuttelaars, 2011. Drivers of residual
20 circulation in tidally energetic estuaries: Straight and irrotational estuaries with parabolic
21 cross-section, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, in print.
- 22 Dronkers, J., 1986a. Tidal asymmetry and estuarine morphology. *Neth. J. Sea Res.* 20, 117–
23 131.
- 24 Dronkers, J., 1986b. Tide-induced residual transport of fine sediment. *Physics of Shallow*
25 *Estuaries and Bays*, van de Kreeke, J. (Ed.), Springer, 228–244.
- 26 Dyer, K.R., 1988. Fine sediment particle transport in estuaries. *Physical Processes in*
27 *Estuaries*, Dronkers, J., van Leussen, W. (Eds.), Springer, 295–320.
- 28 Dyer, K.R., 1994. Estuarine sediment transport and deposition. *Sediment Transport and*
29 *Depositional Processes*. Pye, K. (Ed.), Blackwell, 193–218.
- 30 Eisma, D., 1993. Sedimentation in the Dutch-German Wadden Sea. *Mitteilungen des*
31 *Geologisch-Paläontologischen Instituts der Universität Hamburg* 74, 253-274.
- 32 Festa, J.F., Hansen, D.V., 1978. Turbidity Maxima in Partially Mixed Estuaries: A Two-
33 Dimensional Numerical Model. *Est. Coast. Mar. Sci.* 7, 347-359.
- 34 Fofonoff, P., Millard, R.C., 1983. Algorithms for computation of fundamental properties of
35 seawater. *UNESCO Technical Paper in Marine Science* 44, 53.
- 36 Gemein, N., Stanev, E.V., Brink-Spalink, G., Wolff, J.O., Reuter, R., 2006. Patterns of
37 suspended matter in the East Frisian Wadden Sea: Comparison of numerical simulations with
38 MERIS observations. *EARSel eProceedings* 5(2), 180-198.
- 39 Groen, P., 1967. On the residual transport of suspended matter by an alternating tidal current.
40 *Neth. J. Sea Res.*, 3, 564–574.
- 41 Jay, D.A., Musiak, J.D., 1994. Particle trapping in estuarine tidal flows. *J. Geophys. Res.* 99,
42 445–20 461.

- 1 Lane, A., Riethmüller, R., Herbers, D., Rybczok, P., Günther, H., Baumert, H., 2000.
2 Observational data sets for model development. *Coast. Engin.* 41, 125-153.
- 3 Lumborg, U., Windelin, A., 2003. Hydrography and cohesive sediment modelling:
4 Application to the Rømø Dyb tidal area. *J. Mar. Syst.* 38, 287–303.
- 5 Lumborg, U., Pejrup, M., 2005. Modelling of cohesive sediment transport in a tidal lagoon –
6 an annual budget. *Mar. Geol.* 218, 1–16.
- 7 Meinke, I., Gerstner, E.-M., 2009. Digitaler Norddeutscher Klimaatlas informiert über
8 möglichen künftigen Klimawandel. *DMG Mitteilungen* 3-2009, 17.
- 9 Niedersächsischer Landesbetrieb für Wasserwirtschaft und Küstenschutz (NLWK), 2000.
10 Nährstoffeinträge in die Nordsee.
- 11 Onken, R., Callies, U., Vaessen, B., Riethmüller, R., 2007. Indirect determination of the heat
12 budget of tidal flats. *Cont. Shelf Res.* 27, 1656-1676.
- 13 Onken, R., Riethmüller, R., 2010. Determination of the freshwater budget of tidal flats from
14 measurements near a tidal inlet. *Cont. Shelf Res.* 30, 924-933.
- 15 Pejrup, M., 1988a. Suspended sediment transport across a tidal flat. *Mar. Geol.* 82, 187 – 198
- 16 Pejrup, M., 1988b. Flocculated suspended sediment in a micro-tidal environment. *Sediment.*
17 *Geol.* 57, 249-256.
- 18 Postma, H., 1954. Hydrography of the Dutch Wadden Sea. *Archs. Neerl. Zool.* 10, 405-511.
- 19 Postma, H., 1961. Transport and accumulation of suspended particulate matter in the Dutch
20 Wadden Sea. *Neth. J. Sea Res.* 1, 149–190.
- 21 Postma, H., Kalle, K., 1954. Die Entstehung von Trübungszonen im Unterlauf der Flüsse,
22 speziell im Hinblick auf die Verhältnisse in der Unterelbe. *Dtsch. Hydrogr. Z.* 8, 137-144.
- 23 Puls, W., Heinrich, H., Mayer, B., 1997. Suspended Particulate Matter Budget for the German
24 Bight. *Mar. Poll. Bull.* 34, 398-409.
- 25 Rippeth, T.P., Fisher, N.R., Simpson, J.H. The Cycle of Turbulent Dissipation in the Presence
26 of Tidal Straining. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* 31, 2458-2471
- 27 Ross, J., Mittelstaedt, E., Klein, H., Berger, R., Ricklefs, K., 1998. Der Wasseraustausch im
28 Tidebecken Hörnum-Tief. *Berichte des Bundesamtes für Seeschifffahrt und Hydrographie*,
29 Vol. 16. Hamburg and Rostock, Germany, 100pp.
- 30 Simpson, J.H., J. Brown, J. Matthews, and G. Allen, 1990. Tidal straining, density currents,
31 and stirring in the control of estuarine stratification. *Estuaries*, 26, 1579–1590.
- 32 Stanev, E.V., Flemming, B.W., Bartholomä, A., Staneva, J.V., Wolff, J.-O., 2007. Vertical
33 circulation in shallow tidal inlets and back-barrier basins. *Cont. Shelf Res.* 27, 798-831.
- 34 Staneva, J.E. Stanev, J.O. Wolff, T.H. Badewien, R. Reuter, B. Flemming, A. Bartolomä, K.
35 Bolding, 2009. Hydrodynamics and sediment dynamics in the German Bight. A focus on
36 observations and numerical modelling in the East Frisian Wadden Sea. *Cont. Shelf Res.* 29,
37 302-319.
- 38 Townend, I., Whitehead, P., 2003. A preliminary sediment budget for the Humber Estuary.
39 *Sci. Total Environ.* 314-316, 755-767.
- 40 Van Straaten, L., Kuenen, P., 1958. Tidal action as a cause of clay accumulation. *J. Sediment.*
41 *Res.*, 28, 406–413.

- 1 Verspecht, F, H. Burchard, T.P. Rippeth, M.J. Howarth, A.J. Souza, and J.H. Simpson, 2009.
- 2 Processes impacting on stratification in a region of freshwater influence: Application to
- 3 Liverpool Bay, *J. Geophys. Res.*114, C11022, doi:10.1029/2009JC005475, 2009.
- 4 Zimmerman, J.T.F., 1976. Mixing and flushing of tidal embayments in the Western Dutch
- 5 Wadden Sea. *Neth. J. Sea Res.* 10, 149-191.
- 6