

Final Draft
of the original manuscript:

Loos, M.R.; Abetz, V.; Schulte, K.:

Dissolution of MWCNTs by using polyoxadiazoles, and highly effective reinforcement of their composite films

In: Journal of Polymer Science A (2010) Wiley

DOI: 10.1002/pola.24315

Journal of Polymer Science Part A: Polymer Chemistry

Dissolution of MWCNTs by Using Polyoxadiazoles, and Highly Effective Reinforcement of Their Composite Films

Marcio R. Loos^{1,2}, Volker Abetz¹ and Karl Schulte³

Keywords

Composites, High Performance Polymers, Mechanical Properties, Polyoxadiazoles, Carbon
Nanotubes

¹ Institute of Polymer Research, GKSS-Forschungszentrum Geesthacht GmbH, Max-Planck-Str. 1, 21502 (Germany)

² Corresponding author. Fax: 216.368.4202. E-mail address: marcio.loos@case.edu (M R Loos). Current address: Department of Macromolecular Science and Engineering, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106, USA.

³ Institute of Polymer & Composites, Hamburg University of Technology, Denickestrasse 15, 21073 (Germany)

Abstract

Non modified multiwalled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs)/sulfonated polyoxadiazole (sPOD) nanocomposites are successfully prepared by a facile solution route. The pristine MWCNTs are dispersed in a sPOD solution, and the mixtures are fabricated into thin films by solution casting. The homogeneous dispersion of nanotubes in the composites is confirmed by transmission electron microscopy. The mechanical properties, thermal stability and electrical conductivity are investigated. Tensile strength, elongation at break and tensile energy to break are shown to increase by more than 28, 45 and 73 %, respectively, by incorporating up to 1.0 wt% pristine MWCNTs. The experimental values for sPOD/MWCNTs composite stiffness are compared with Halpin-Tsai and modified Halpin-Tsai predictions. The storage modulus is found to increase up to 10 % at low CNT loading. The composite films, which have an outstanding thermal stability, show an increase of up to 57°C in the initial degradation temperature. The addition of 1.0 wt% MWCNTs increases the electrical conductivity of the sPOD matrix by two orders of magnitude.

Introduction

The outstanding properties of the carbon nanotubes make them a promising filler material to improve mechanical, thermal and electrical properties of polymers. The key point is to transfer the potential properties of CNTs to the polymer composites. Due to the strong attractive long-ranged van der Waals interaction, nanotubes tend to aggregate and form bundles or ropes, usually with highly entangled network structure. Thus, despite of the high surface area of nanotubes that can act as desirable interface for stress transfer, it

undesirably induces strong attractive forces between the CNTs themselves, leading to the excessive agglomeration behavior. In this context, two main issues are extremely important to the achievement of polymer composites with improved properties due to the addition of carbon nanotubes. These issues are the interfacial interaction between filler and polymer and the proper dispersion of the filler in the polymer matrix. A homogeneous dispersion of filler within the hosting matrix is a prerequisite of any composite. It is also vital to stabilize the dispersion to prevent re-aggregation of the filler.

There are four prominent methods for achieving dispersion of nanotubes: mechanical methods,¹ functionalizing the CNTs,² using surfactants³ and noncovalent modification by using small molecules and polymer dispersants.⁴ There are, of course, advantages and disadvantages associated with each of these methods.⁵ The use of noncovalent modification does not alter the properties of CNTs. However one potential drawback of noncovalent modification is that the dispersant must remain in the system to maintain the dispersion. This may not be desirable since the dispersant agent can alter the properties of the final composite, but if the dispersant can serve as a matrix, then noncovalent modification can be attractive.

Aromatic and aliphatic polymers such as polyimide,⁵⁻⁸ polybenzimidazole,^{4,9} polyaniline,^{10,11} poly(arylene sulfone)¹² and sulfonated polyoxadiazoles² with conjugated groups have been reported to be excellent for dispersing CNTs in composites. This is due to the strong interfacial interactions (such as π - π interactions) between the conjugated groups of the polymer and the sidewall of the CNTs.

Aromatic poly(1,3,4-oxadiazole)s are a class of chemically resistant and thermally stable heterocyclic polymers.^{13,14} They have been the focus of considerable interest with regard to the production of high-performance materials, particularly owing to their high thermal stability in oxidative atmosphere and specific properties determined by the structure of the 1,3,4-oxadiazole ring. Besides their excellent resistance to high temperature, polyoxadiazoles have many desirable characteristics, such as good hydrolytic stability, high glass transition temperatures, low dielectric constants, and tough mechanical properties.^{13,15}

In this study we describe the successful dispersion of MWCNTs in a sulfonated poly(4,4'-diphenylether-1,3,4-oxadiazoles) (sPOD) matrix. The pristine MWCNTs are dispersed in a sPOD solution, and the mixtures are fabricated into thin film membranes by a solution casting method. The fine dispersion of the nanotubes throughout the polymer matrix as well as the improved interfacial interaction is found to improve the overall mechanical performance of the composites. Enhancements in thermal stability and electrical conductivity have been also achieved.

Experimental

Starting Materials: Pristine multi-walled carbon nanotubes, MWCNTs (CNT-MW), were purchased from Future Carbon and used as received. Poly(phosphoric acid), PPA (115% H₃PO₄, Aldrich), hydrazine sulfate, HS (>99%, Aldrich), dicarboxylic acid 4,4'-diphenylether, DPE (99%, Aldrich), dimethyl sulfoxide, DMSO (>99%, Aldrich), sodium hydroxide, NaOH (99%, Vetec). All chemicals were used as received.

Preparation of sPOD/MWCNTs composites: The synthesis of sPOD was performed following the process reported by Loos et al.^{13,15}. The molecular structure of the obtained sPOD, which has a molecular weight of 350000 g mol⁻¹ and a sulfonation level of 46%, is shown in Figure 1. sPOD/MWCNT nanocomposites containing different concentrations of filler (0.1, 0.5, and 1.0 wt%) were fabricated via a simple solution processing. In a typical experiment sPOD (2.0 g) and the proper amount of CNTs were dissolved in DMSO and stirred overnight at 60 °C. The solutions were then sonicated at 60 °C for one hour and casted on glass plates. After casting, the DMSO was evaporated at 90 °C for 24 h. For further residual solvent removal, the films were immersed in a water bath at 50 °C for 24 h and dried in a vacuum oven at 100 °C for 24 h. The final thickness of the films was about 60 μm.

Characterization: The morphology of the CNTs used in this work, as well as the nanocomposites, was analyzed with a transmission electron microscope (TEM). The TEM images were obtained using a Tecnai G2 F20 field emission transmission electron microscopy at an acceleration voltage of 200kV. Dynamic mechanical thermal analysis (DMTA) was used for determination of the storage modulus (E'), loss modulus (E'') and loss tangent ($\tan \delta$) of the composite films. DMTA was performed using a TA instrument RSA 2 with a film tension mode at a frequency of 1Hz and 0.1 N initial static force. The temperature was varied from 25 °C to 500 °C at a heating rate of 2°C min⁻¹ and at a constant strain of 0.05%. Tensile tests were performed according to the ASTM D882-00 using a Zwick-Roell equipment with a 500 N load cell. From the stress-strain curve the tensile strength of the samples was estimated and the elastic modulus calculated. At least 10 independent specimens from different films were investigated. The tensile energy to

break (TEB), i.e. the total energy absorbed per unit of volume of the specimen up to the point of rupture, was also determined. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) experiments were carried out in a Netzsch 209 TGF1, equipped with a TASC 414/3 thermal analysis controller. The bulk sample, under argon and air atmosphere, was heated from 90°C to 900°C at 10°C min⁻¹. The room temperature electrical conductivity of the composites were measured using a standard Van Der Pauw DC four probe method. The sample thickness was averaged over five measurements. Prior to the measurement, the corners of the samples with dimensions of 60 μm x 10 mm x 10 mm were coated with a thin silver paint. The current source was a Keithley 2061A apparatus.

Results and Discussion

Sulfonated polyoxadiazole composites containing carbon nanotubes have been successfully prepared via solution processing. The proposed polyoxadiazole-MWCNTs interactions have been recently discussed elsewhere.² The non covalent functionalization of nanotubes by sPOD is expected to happen by the interaction between aromatic groups from the diphenyl ether group with the CNTs, which is based on the ability of the extended π -system of the carbon nanotubes sidewall to bind guest molecules via π - π -stacking interactions.^{2,5-8} Attempts to confirm the presence of an interaction between MWCNTs and sPOD were done by analyzing the FTIR spectra of the composites. Figure 2 shows a representative FTIR spectrum of the neat polyoxadiazole and sPOD/MWCNTs composites. No difference could be observed among the different MWCNTs compositions. The analysis of this figure indicates that no additional band and no shifted

band can be detected for the composites. The two peaks at ca. 1600 cm^{-1} and 1485 cm^{-1} arise from C=C stretching of the aromatic groups and are observed in all spectra. The asymmetric SO_2 stretch is observed at 1395 cm^{-1} . In covalent sulfonates, R- SO_2 -OR, the asymmetric and symmetric SO_2 stretching vibration bands occur at $1415\text{-}1308\text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $1234\text{-}1145\text{ cm}^{-1}$, respectively, while the asymmetric and symmetric SO stretching vibration bands occur at $1027\text{-}859\text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $837\text{-}670\text{ cm}^{-1}$, respectively. The oxadiazole ring in the samples can be characterized through the assignments placed at 1468 and 1415 cm^{-1} related to the C=N stretching and by the assignment placed at 1085 cm^{-1} related to the -C-O-C- stretching.

The higher the interfacial interaction between the polymer and the dispersed nanofillers, the greater is the filler influence on the original characteristics of the polymer. In addition the interaction polymer-nanotubes may prohibits the aggregation of the tubes resulting in a more stable suspension (Figure 3).

The interactions of sPOD and MWCNTs enable the well dispersion of the nanotubes in the sPOD matrix. Figure 4 shows the appearance of sPOD/MWCNTs composites. The composites were placed on a paper printed with the words 'GKSS Research Centre, Hamburg University of Technology – TUHH'. The composites become darker in color with increasing nanotube content. Samples with 0.1 wt% MWCNTs still possess some optical clarity and visible by the naked eye, with uniform distribution of MWCNTs without any visible aggregate.

The homogeneous dispersion of nanotubes in the composites is confirmed by transmission electron microscopy. As shown in Figure 5, pristine MWCNTs are well

dispersed as individual tubes in the sPOD matrix. It is worth noting that, as reported in the literature, pristine CNTs are usually found to be not well dispersed in different polymer matrices.^{16,17}

Carbon nanotubes possess an extremely high tensile modulus (50-1000 GPa),¹⁸⁻²⁰ tensile strength (20-150 GPa)²¹⁻²³ and high aspect ratio (typically ca. 300-1000).²⁴ The addition of small amounts of CNTs to polymer matrices is expected to enhance the tensile properties significantly. The results of tensile tests obtained for the films of sPOD and sPOD/MWCNTs composites, in terms of Young's modulus (E), tensile strength (σ_M) and elongation at break (ϵ) with standard deviations and their percentage of increment are reported in Table 1. Figure 6 shows typical stress-strain curves obtained for the composites with various amounts of CNTs. The Young's modulus tend to increase for composites with 0.1 and 0.5 wt% MWCNTs. The tensile strength of sPOD is improved by 27% with the addition of just 0.1 wt% MWCNTs. Further increase in the CNT content does not produce further improvement in the tensile strength. More importantly, the ductility of sPOD is not compromised by the addition of pristine MWCNTs. Upon incorporation of only 0.1 wt% MWCNTs, the elongation at break of the composites increase by 35%, whereas the addition of 1.0 wt% CNTs further increase the aforementioned value by 45%. It is worth noting that the ductility and toughness of a polymer are usually reduced substantially upon the incorporation of non modified CNTs, as this have been reported in the literature for a large number of different polymer matrices.²⁵⁻²⁷

The effect of MWCNTs in the tensile performance of sPOD becomes even more clear by comparing the tensile energy to break (TEB) of the neat polymer and composites (Table

1). As revealed by our results, the TEB increases with increasing nanotube loading in a linear fashion. An improvement of up to 73% at 1.0 wt% MWCNTs loading has been obtained. We attributed our success in enhancing the overall mechanical properties to the effective load transfer from the sPOD matrix to the MWCNTs as a result of the fine dispersion and interaction between the polymer and the CNTs.

We used two different equations to predict the Young's modulus of sPOD/MWCNTs composites. The Halpin-Tsai model has been used successfully to calculate the modulus of CNT reinforced polymer composites.^{25,28} For randomly oriented CNTs in a polymer matrix, the modulus of the composite is given by the following equation²⁹⁻³¹

$$\frac{E_C}{E_m} = \frac{3}{8} \left[\frac{1 + 2(l/d)\eta_L V_{NT}}{1 - \eta_L V_{NT}} \right] + \frac{5}{8} \left[\frac{1 + 2\eta_T V_{NT}}{1 - \eta_T V_{NT}} \right] \quad (1)$$

$$\eta_L = \frac{(E_{NT}/E_m) - 1}{(E_{NT}/E_m) + 2(l/d)} \quad \eta_T = \frac{(E_{NT}/E_m) - 1}{(E_{NT}/E_m) + 2} \quad (2)$$

where E_C , E_m , E_{NT} are the composite, matrix and CNT elastic modulus, respectively, V_{NT} is the CNT volume fraction and, l and d are the length and average outer diameter of the nanotube.

Thostenson and Chou³¹ modified the Halpin-Tsai theory towards its applicability to nanotube reinforced composites. Considering that the outer wall of the nanotubes act as an effective solid fibre, with the same deformation behavior and diameter (d) and length (l) of the nanotube, the parameters η_L and η_T can be expressed as

$$\eta_L = \frac{(E_{NT}/E_m) - (d/4t)}{(E_{NT}/E_m) + (l/2t)} \quad \eta_T = \frac{(E_{NT}/E_m) - (d/4t)}{(E_{NT}/E_m) + (d/2t)} \quad (3)$$

where t is the thickness of graphite layer (0.34 nm).

The volume fraction of the nanotubes can be calculated according to

$$V_{NT} = \left[1 + \left(\frac{\rho_{NT}}{\rho_m} \right) \left(\frac{1 - m_{NT}}{m_{NT}} \right) \right]^{-1} \quad (4)$$

Where m_{NT} is the weight fraction of the CNTs, and ρ_{NT} and ρ_m are the density of the CNTs and the polymer matrix respectively.

The density of the CNTs can be calculated by assuming the graphitic layers of the tube shell to have the density of fully dense graphite ($\rho_g = 2.25 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$), as suggested by Thostenson et al.³¹

$$\rho_{NT} = \rho_g \frac{(d^2 - d_i^2)}{d^2} \quad (5)$$

where, d_i is the inner diameter of the nanotube.

There is a large variation in modulus for CVD-MWCNTs reported in the literature with measured values ranging from 400 GPa²⁴ to just 50 GPa¹⁹. Nevertheless the modulus strongly depends on the concentration of defects. As such, the experimental values for sPOD/MWCNTs composite stiffness are compared with Halpin-Tsai and modified Halpin-Tsai predictions using three different moduli of MWCNTs: 50, 100 and 400 GPa. During our simulations, the average length, outer and internal diameter of the MWCNTs

were taken to be $l = 10 \mu\text{m}$, $d = 15 \text{ nm}$ and $d_i = 5 \text{ nm}$, respectively. The value of ρ_{NT}/ρ_m was estimated to be 1.40. The comparison of experimental and theoretical values of stiffness is shown in Figure 7.

It can be seen that the modulus for composites with different MWCNT loadings lie between the calculated values. The experimental data for composites with 0.1 wt% (0.072 vol%) MWCNTs fits well with the Young's modulus calculated using the Halpin-Tsai equations if $E_{\text{NT}} = 400 \text{ GPa}$. However, the increase in modulus with nanotube loading is not linear, and the Halpin-Tsai equations at $E_{\text{NT}} = 100 \text{ GPa}$ fits better the composite modulus at a loading of 0.5 wt% (0.036 vol%) MWCNTs. In the case of the sPOD composites containing 1.0 wt% (0.72 vol%) MWCNTs, there is a decrease in stiffness and the experimental data fall between the values calculated using the modified Halpin-Tsai equations at $E_{\text{NT}} = 50 \text{ GPa}$ and $E_{\text{NT}} = 100 \text{ GPa}$. It is worth noting that, according to the Halpin-Tsai equations, it is assumed that both CNTs and sPOD are very well bonded, equally strained and CNTs are homogeneously distributed within the sPOD.

The influence of pristine MWCNTs on the dynamical mechanical thermal behavior of the composites has been explored by DMTA. Figure 8 shows the temperature dependence of the storage modulus (E') and $\tan \delta$ of sPOD and sPOD/MWCNTs composites, for different CNT loading. Similar dynamic mechanical spectra were recorded for the different samples. The storage modulus of pure sPOD decreases slowly and progressively with increasing temperature, showing a very strong decay in the temperature range between ca. 380 and 450°C, which correlates with the glass transition of the polymer.

In most of the temperature range, the incorporation of MWCNTs induces a rise in the storage modulus of the matrix. In the case of composites containing 1.0 wt% MWCNTs, the aforementioned rise take place at temperatures higher than 140°C (Figure 8a). Thus, at 100°C, composites containing 0.1 and 0.5 wt% MWCNTs increased E' by approximately 7 and 10%, respectively. The observed enhancement in the mechanical response is attributed to the effective load transfer from the matrix to the filler likely resulting from an improvement in the MWCNTs dispersion. Analogous behavior has been reported in the literature for different polymer/CNT composites.^{2,32} As can be seen in Table 2 and Figure 8a, our results reveal a non linear growth of E' with nanotube amount, where the increase is more pronounced at low concentrations. At very low contents, the nanotubes are easier dispersed and therefore E' increases rapidly with MWCNT concentration. However, when the concentration becomes sufficiently large, the nanotubes can easily interact and may form small aggregates, which limit the interfacial area for stress transfer from the polymer matrix. Above the glass transition temperature there are no obvious differences of the E' for the neat polymer and its composites.

Figure 8b shows representative curves for the tan delta as a function of temperature. In general, the glass transition temperature (T_g) of polymer/CNT composites depends on the interaction of reinforcement with the matrix, increasing with stronger interfacial interaction. In the case of sulfonated sPOD, the glass transition temperature is mainly determined by the ionic groups, present in the polymer chains, and their interactions.^{2,13} Furthermore, the use of different nanofillers has been found to have no significantly effect on the T_g of sPOD matrices.² As revealed by the results (Table. 2) the glass

transition temperature (measured either as the peak in the loss modulus curve or in the $\tan \delta$ curve) of MWCNT reinforced samples results in no change of the T_g . Moreover, analysis of the magnitude of $\tan \delta$ peaks indicates that the composites show progressive decreasing damping properties with increasing nanotube loadings. This is expected for nanotube reinforced composites because of the incorporation of completely elastic ($\tan \delta \sim 0$) nanotubes in the polymer matrix. Similar behavior have been reported in the literature for CNT reinforced composites.^{32,2} All experimental thermo mechanical data are tabulated in Tab. 2.

In order to study the thermal stability of the composites, TGA characterization has been carried out under dry air and argon atmospheres. The degradation curves of pure sPOD and composites containing 0.1, 0.5 and 1.0 wt% MWCNTs are displayed in Figure 9. It can be observed that the decomposition under inert environment takes place in a single stage, which involves decarboxylation and dehydration processes. The aromatic structures remain in the residue up to very high temperatures. At 700°C the residual amount in all composites is approximately 65% of the initial weight (Tab. 2, Figure 9a). Pure sPOD starts to degrade at 304°C under argon and at 315°C under air atmosphere. The incorporation of MWCNTs induces a thermal stabilization of the matrix under air; thus, the addition of 0.5 wt% CNTs increases the initial degradation temperature (T_i) up to 57°C (Table 2). However, if we focus on the TGA under argon atmosphere, the increase in the aforementioned temperature is lower. The observed result reveals that a uniform and fine dispersion of the MWCNTs improves the interfacial adhesion between the CNTs and the matrix and restricts the thermal motion of the sPOD chains, thereby leading to composites thermally more stable. Furthermore, the CNTs may play a barrier

effect which hinders the diffusion of the degradation products from the bulk of the polymer to the gas phase. Another explanation for the results could be the higher thermal conductivity of the composite that facilitates heat dissipation within the sample.

The electrical conductivity (σ) of the sPOD/MWCNTs nanocomposites as a function of MWCNT loading is shown in Table 2. As can be seen, the addition of 0.1 and 0.5 wt% CNTs slightly decrease the electrical conductivity of the sPOD matrix. This apparent contradictory result may be a consequence of a complex interplay of the conductivity through the carbon nanotube network assisted by transport through carbon nanotube-bridging conjugated polymer chains.³³ The reason for this behavior is not fully understood. However one suggestion is that the high affinity of the polymer for the CNTs surface allows the polymer to completely coat and insulate the CNT surface. Thus, the CNTs are coated with the semiconductor sPOD in such a way as to prevent the charge from transferring from one MWCNT to another. The observed conductivity could also be a result of the more uniform distribution of the MWCNTs, which in turn would decrease the likelihood of bundles touching to form a conductive network.³⁴ Similar results have been reported in the literature.^{34,35} It is also worth noting that, as reported in the literature, conjugated polymer based nanocomposites have presented lower levels of conductivity than insulating polymers after percolation.^{36,37} Therefore the behavior revealed by our results for the sPOD composites with 0.1 and 0.5 wt% is associated with charge transfer through the semiconductor polymer.

Nevertheless, upon the addition of 1.0 wt% MWCNTs the sPOD matrix nanocomposites showed an increase of two orders of magnitude in electrical conductivity. This sharp

increase observed in conductivity suggested the percolation threshold in the conductivity of the nanocomposites is above 0.5 wt%.

Conclusion

Pristine multiwalled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs)/sulfonated polyoxadiazole (sPOD) nanocomposites were successfully prepared by a facile solution route. It was possible to achieve a homogeneous dispersion of non modified MWCNTs in the sPOD matrix, as confirmed by TEM. The investigation of tensile properties of the nanocomposites resulted in an increase of more than 28, 45 and 73 % in tensile strength, elongation at break and tensile energy to break, respectively. The experimental values for sPOD/MWCNTs composite stiffness were fitted with Halpin-Tsai and modified Halpin-Tsai predictions. The storage modulus (at 100°C) of composites containing 0.1 and 0.5 wt% MWCNTs was found to increase by approximately 7 and 10%, respectively. We attributed our success in enhancing the overall mechanical properties to the effective load transfer from the sPOD matrix to the MWCNTs. The obtained composite films, which have an outstanding thermal stability, have shown an increase of up to 57°C in the initial degradation temperature. Furthermore the addition of 1.0 wt% MWCNTs increased the electrical conductivity of the sPOD matrix by two orders of magnitude. The enhancement of the overall performance of the composites was found to be a result of the fine dispersion and interaction between the polymer and the CNTs.

Acknowledgements

We thank H. Böttcher for the DMTA and tensile tests, S. Neumann for the TGA analysis, M. Brinkmann for the SEC measurements, C. Abetz for the TEM images and Dr. D. Gomes for the previous support.

References

1. Gojny, F. H.; Wichmann, M. H. G.; Köpke, U.; Fiedler, B.; Schulte, K. *Compos. Sci. Technol.* 2004, 64, 2363.
2. Gomes, D.; Loos, M. R.; M. H. G.; Wichmann, de la Vega, A.; Schulte, K. *Compos. Sci. Technol.* 2009, 69, 220.
3. Islam, M. F.; Rojas, E.; Bergey, D. M.; Johnson, A. T.; Yodh, A. G. *Nano Lett.* 2003, 3, 269.
4. Li, N.; Zhang, F.; Wang, J.; Li, S.; Zhang, S. *Polymer* 2009, 50, 3600.
5. Delozier, D. M.; Watson, K. A.; Smith Jr., J. G.; Clancy, T. C.; Connell, J. W. *Macromolecules* 2006, 39, 1731.
6. Chen, H.; Liu, Z.; Cebe, P. *Polymer* 2009, 50, 872.
7. Licea-Jiménez, L.; Grishina, A. D.; Pereshivko, L. Y.; Krivenko, T. V.; Savelyev, V. V.; Rychwalski, R. W.; Vannikov, A. V. *Carbon* 2006, 44, 113.
8. Shigeta, M.; Komatsu, M.; Nakashima, N. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 2006, 418, 115.
9. Ishibashi, A.; Nakashima, N. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2006, 12, 7595.

10. Wu, T.-M.; Lin, Y.-W. *Carbon* 2005, 43, 734.
11. Wang, G.; Ding, Y.; Wang, F.; Li, X.; Li, C. J. *Colloid Interface Sci.* 2008, 317, 199.
12. Joo, S. H.; Pak, C.; Kim, E. A.; Lee, Y. H.; Chang, H.; Seung, D.; Choi, Y. S.; Park, J.-B.; Kim, T. K. *J. Power Sources* 2008, 180, 63.
13. Loos, M. R.; Gomes, D. *High Perform. Polym.* 2009, 21, 697.
14. Gomes, D.; Roeder, J.; Ponce, M. L.; Nunes, S. P. J. *Membr. Sci.* 2007, 295, 121.
15. de F. Gomes, D.; Loos, M. R. Method For The Synthesis Of A Polyoxadiazole Polymer, Patent published on: Germany 102008009.9-44; Europe 09000705.5; USA 12/369,133; Canada 2,654,118; Russia 2009104921; Japan 2009-29559; China 200910008693.8; Korea 10-2009-0011567.
16. Yang, B.-X.; Pramoda, K. P.; Xu, G. Q.; Goh, S. H. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2007, 17, 2062.
17. Wang, M.; Pramoda, K. P.; Goh, S. H. *Polymer* 2005, 46, 11510.
18. Popov, V. N. *Mater. Sci. Eng.* 2004, 43, 61.
19. Salvétat, J.-P.; Kulik, A. J.; Bonard, J.-M.; Briggs, G. A. D.; Stöckli, T.; Méténier, K.; Bonnamy, S.; Béguin, F.; Burnham, N. A.; Forró, L. *Adv. Mater.* 1999, 11, 161.
20. Jeong, W.; Kessler, M. R. *Chem. Mater.* 2008, 20, 7060.

21. Andrews, R.; Weisenberger, M. C.; Qian, D.; Meier, M. S.; Cassity, K. in Nanomaterials: inorganic and bioinorganic perspectives, vol 1 (Wiley, United Kingdom 2009).
22. Stano, K.; Koziol, K.; Pick, M.; Motta, M.; Moisala, A.; Vilatela, J.; Frasier, S.; Windle, A. *Int. J. Mater. Form.* 2008, 1, 59.
23. Wagner, H. D.; *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 2002, 361,57.
24. Xie, S.; Li, W.; Pan, Z.; Chang, B.; Sun, L. *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* 2000, 61, 1153.
25. Jeong, W.; Kessler, M. R. *Carbon* 2009;47:2406-2412.
26. Chen, G.-X.; Kim, H.-S.; Park, B. H.; Yoon, J.-S. *Polymer* 2006, 47, 4760.
27. Kim, K. H.; Jo, W. H. *Compos. Sci. Technol.* 2008, 68, 2120.
28. Kanagaraj, S.; Varanda, F. R.; T. Zhil'tsova, V.; Oliveira, M. S. A.; Simões, J. A. *O. Compos. Sci. Technol.* 2007, 67, 3071.
29. Gojny, F. H.; Wichmann, M. H. C.; Köpke, U.; Fiedler, B.; Schulte, K. *Compos. Sci. Technol.* 2004, 64, 2363.
30. Peeterbroeck, S.; Breugelmans, L.; Alexandre, M.; Nagy, J. B.; Viville, P.; Lazzaroni, R. *Compos. Sci. Technol.* 2007, 67, 1659.
31. Thostenson, E. T.; Chou, T.-W. *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* 2003, 36, 573.
32. Chou, W.-J.; Wang, C.-C.; Chen, C.-Y. *Compos. Sci. Technol.* 2008, 68, 2208.

33. Musumeci, A. W.; Silva, G. G.; Liu, J.-W.; Martens, W. N.; Waclawik, E. R.
Polymer 2007, 48, 1667.
34. Delozier, D. M.; Watson, K. A.; Smith Jr., J. G.; Clancy, T. C.; J. W. Connell,
Macromolecules 2006, 39, 1731.
35. R.; Haggemueller, Du, F.; Fischer, J. E.; Winey, K. I. Polymer 2006, 47, 2381.
36. Kilbride, B. E.; Coleman, J. N.; Fraysse, J.; Fournet, P.; Cadek, M.; Drury, A.;
Hutzler, S.; Roth, S.; Blau, W. J. J. Appl. Phys. 2002, 92, 4024.
37. Kymakis, E.; Amaratunga, G. A. J. J. Appl. Phys. 2006, 99, 0843021.

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Chemical structure of poly(4,4'-diphenylether-1,3,4-oxadiazole)s (sPOD).

Figure 2. Representative FTIR spectra of the neat polyoxadiazole and of the sPOD/MWCNTs composites.

Figure 3. Schematic drawing of the interaction between sPOD and pristine MWCNTs.

Figure 4. Digital photographs of the neat polyoxadiazole and nanocomposites films reinforced with different amounts of MWCNTs.

Figure 5. TEM images of sPOD composite reinforced with 0.5 wt% MWCNTs.

Figure 6. Representative stress-strain curves for the neat polyoxadiazole and nanocomposites.

Figure 7. Theoretical Halpin-Tsai and modified Halpin-Tsai models and experimental data for the sPOD/MWCNTs composites at various nanotube loadings.

Figure 8. Dynamic mechanical analysis of composites: (a) storage modulus (E') and (b) $\tan \delta$ of composites reinforced with pristine MWCNTs.

Figure 9. TGA curves of neat sPOD and sPOD/MWCNTs composites measured under (a) flowing argon and (b) air flow.

Graphical Abstract

Non modified MWCNTs/polyoxadiazole nanocomposites are successfully prepared by a facile solution route. Tensile strength, elongation at break and tensile energy to break are shown to increase by more than 28, 45 and 73 %, respectively. The enhancement of the overall performance of the composites is a result of the fine dispersion and interaction between the polymer and the CNTs.